JOINT EXHIBIT #5

Ą.

```
1
                      STATE OF MICHIGAN
          IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF INGHAM
          PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN,)
  3
                                        )File No. 15-175-FH
          -vs-
                                        ) JUDGE COLLETTE
         BRIAN MICHAEL ALEXANDER,
                                        ) VOLUME I
  5
                    Defendant.
  6
          JURY TRIAL
  7
         before the Honorable William E. Collette,
          Circuit Judge, Ingham County, Michigan
  8
                 Monday, August 31, 2015
  9
         APPEARANCES:
 10
          STEVEN J. KWASNIK, P# 67711
          303 West Kalamazoo Street
 11
          Lansing, MI, 48933
          (517) 483-6210
12
                  On behalf of the People.
13
          WILLIAM D. MCCRIRIE, P# 34144
14
          2000 Grand River Annex, Suite 200
          Brighton MI 48114
15
          (810) 229-6167
16
                  Co-Counsel on behalf of the Defendant.
          SCOTT GRABEL, P# 53310
17
          124 West Allegan Street, Suite 636
18
          Lansing, MI 48933-1707
          (800) 342-7896
19
                  Co-Counsel on behalf of the Defendant.
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                   1
```

1 of 69 sheets

		T .	TIM (MAIA DAIN), OL
1	Mason, Michigan	1	MR, KWASNIK: Okay.
2	September 3, 2015	2	THE COURT: We just use it and say this was a
3	9:12 a,m,	3	compliation, it really isn't evidence of anything. It's
4	RECORD	4	something you are using for closing.
5	THE COURT: Thank you. Have a seat. I'll get	5	MR. KWASNIK: Correct.
6	there. All right. We had a list of instructions that we went	6	THE COURT: And you just keep it in your file.
7	over, and were there any objections to the ones that I gave	7	MR, KWASNIK: Very good.
8	you?	8	MR. MCCRIRIE: And Judge, I would object if Counsel,
9	MR, MCCRIRIE: No.	9	in his closing argument, refers to it as an exhibit because
10	MR. KWASNIK: No, Your Honor.	10	that's one of the things we have talked about all throughout
11	THE COURT: All right. And we have intent. Any	11	this process is what is evidence, and that's not. So I just
12	objection to that?	12	would ask that the Court caution that we not refer to it as an
13	MR. MCCRIRIE: No.	13	exhibit.
14	MR. KWASNIK: No, Your Honor,	14	THE COURT: Right, It's simply a demonstrative —
15	THE COURT: There is one here about an extra active	15	demonstrative object. Whatever. I don't know.
16	improper conduct. Anybody want that or object?	16	MR. KWASNIK: Just call it what it is. It's a
17	MR. MCCRIRIE: I object to that.	17	timeline.
18	THE COURT: All right.	18	MR. MCCRIRIE: Thank you, Judge.
19	MR. KWASNIK: Your Honor, I had requested that.	19	THE COURT: Anything else?
20	THE COURT: Yeah. Well, the Defense doesn't want	20	MR. MCCRIRIE; No.
21	that. There was some extraneous words, but it was just	21	MR. KWASNIK: No, Your Honor.
22	mentioned briefly, so I don't see it as a huge thing.	22	THE COURT: Okay. Then at this point -1 don't
23	MR. KWASNIK: That's fine, Your Honor, as long as	23	need Kay I guess, I guess I don't need Kay right now. Let's
24	for appellate purposes.	24	bring the jury in and you Gentlemen can proceed to closing.
25	THE COURT: The point is you tried to cover it and	25	And I appreciate – and I know it doesn't always sound like
L	3		5
1	that's good.	1	it, but I appreciate your good work, Gentlemen.
I "	Maria Bassi	1	**
2	MR. KWASNIK: Thank you.	2	MR. MCCRIRIE: Thank you, Judge.
1		2 3	MR. MCCRIRIE: Thank you, Judge. MR. KWASNIK: Thank you, Your Honor.
2	MR. KWASNIK: Thank you.	2 3 4	MR. MCCRIRIE: Thank you, Judge. MR. KWASNIK: Thank you, Your Honor. (Jury back at 9:16 a.m.)
2 3	MR. KWASNIK: Thank you. THE COURT: What about character of or truthfulness?	2 3	MR. MCCRIRIE: Thank you, Judge. MR. KWASNIK: Thank you, Your Honor. (Jury back at 9:16 a.m.) THE COURT: Okay, Everybody here? Okay. Have a
2 3 4	MR. KWASNIK: Thank you. THE COURT: What about character of or truthfulness? I don't think we had that specific procedure ever followed.	2 3 4 5 6	MR. MCCRIRIE: Thank you, Judge. MR. KWASNIK: Thank you, Your Honor. (Jury back at 9:16 a.m.) THE COURT: Okay. Everybody here? Okay. Have a seat. All right. The lawyers and I went over prepared
2 3 4 5	MR. KWASNIK: Thank you. THE COURT: What about character of or truthfulness? I don't think we had that specific procedure ever followed. MR. MCCRIRIE: I think, Judge, that I attacked but	2 3 4 5	MR. MCCRIRIE: Thank you, Judge, MR. KWASNIK: Thank you, Your Honor. (Jury back at 9:16 a.m.) THE COURT: Okay. Everybody here? Okay. Have a seat. All right. The lawyers and I went over prepared exhibits, as I told you, and then today they came in and they
2 3 4 5 6	MR. KWASNIK: Thank you. THE COURT: What about character of or truthfulness? I don't think we had that specific procedure ever followed. MR. MCCRIRIE: I think, Judge, that I attacked but not generally was typically their reputation in the community	2 3 4 5 6	MR. MCCRIRIE: Thank you, Judge. MR. KWASNIK: Thank you, Your Honor. (Jury back at 9:16 a.m.) THE COURT: Okay. Everybody here? Okay. Have a seat. All right. The lawyers and I went over prepared exhibits, as I told you, and then today they came in and they had a couple of others I had to review, so that took a little
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. KWASNIK: Thank you. THE COURT: What about character of or truthfulness? I don't think we had that specific procedure ever followed. MR. MCCRIRIE: I think, Judge, that I attacked but not generally was typically their reputation in the community for truthfulness or untruthfulness.	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. MCCRIRIE: Thank you, Judge. MR. KWASNIK: Thank you, Your Honor. (Jury back at 9:16 a.m.) THE COURT: Okay. Everybody here? Okay. Have a seat. All right. The lawyers and I went over prepared exhibits, as I told you, and then today they came in and they had a couple of others I had to review, so that took a little time, and then I had another case I was going to try today and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. KWASNIK: Thank you. THE COURT: What about character of or truthfulness? I don't think we had that specific procedure ever followed. MR. MCCRIRIE: I think, Judge, that I attacked but not generally was typically their reputation in the community for truthfulness or untruthfulness. THE COURT: Yeah. I didn't see that. MR. KWASNIK: That's fine, Your Honor. I leave it to the Court's discretion.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. MCCRIRIE: Thank you, Judge. MR. KWASNIK: Thank you, Your Honor. (Jury back at 9:16 a.m.) THE COURT: Okay. Everybody here? Okay. Have a seat. All right. The lawyers and I went over prepared exhibits, as I told you, and then today they came in and they had a couple of others I had to review, so that took a little time, and then I had another case I was going to try today and we were hopeful of getting some sort of a resolution on it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. KWASNIK: Thank you. THE COURT: What about character of or truthfulness? I don't think we had that specific procedure ever followed. MR. MCGRIRIE: I think, Judge, that I attacked but not generally was typically their reputation in the community for truthfulness or untruthfulness. THE COURT: Yeah. I didn't see that. MR. KWASNIK: That's fine, Your Honor. I leave it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. MCCRIRIE: Thank you, Judge. MR. KWASNIK: Thank you, Your Honor. (Jury back at 9:16 a.m.) THE COURT: Okay. Everybody here? Okay. Have a seat. All right. The lawyers and I went over prepared exhibits, as I told you, and then today they came in and they had a couple of others I had to review, so that took a little time, and then I had another case I was going to try today and we were hopeful of getting some sort of a resolution on it. That's why I was in here with the attorneys. And the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. KWASNIK: Thank you. THE COURT: What about character of or truthfulness? I don't think we had that specific procedure ever followed. MR. MCCRIRIE: I think, Judge, that I attacked but not generally was typically their reputation in the community for truthfulness or untruthfulness. THE COURT: Yeah. I didn't see that. MR. KWASNIK: That's fine, Your Honor. I leave it to the Court's discretion.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. MCCRIRIE: Thank you, Judge. MR. KWASNIK: Thank you, Your Honor. (Jury back at 9:16 a.m.) THE COURT: Okay. Everybody here? Okay. Have a seat. All right. The lawyers and I went over prepared exhibits, as I told you, and then today they came in and they had a couple of others I had to review, so that took a little time, and then I had another case I was going to try today and we were hopeful of getting some sort of a resolution on it. That's why I was in here with the attorneys. And the gentleman can't speak English, so we've had an interpreter and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. KWASNIK: Thank you. THE COURT: What about character of or truthfulness? I don't think we had that specific procedure ever followed, MR. MCCRIRIE: I think, Judge, that I attacked but not generally was typically their reputation in the community for truthfulness or untruthfulness, THE COURT: Yeah. I didn't see that, MR. KWASNIK: That's fine, Your Honor. I leave it to the Court's discretion, THE COURT: And what about the statements the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. MCCRIRIE: Thank you, Judge. MR. KWASNIK: Thank you, Your Honor. (Jury back at 9:16 a.m.) THE COURT: Okay. Everybody here? Okay. Have a seat. All right. The lawyers and I went over prepared exhibits, as I told you, and then today they came in and they had a couple of others I had to review, so that took a little time, and then I had another case I was going to try today and we were hopeful of getting some sort of a resolution on it. That's why I was in here with the attorneys. And the gentleman can't speak English, so we've had an interpreter and one thing or other. And that case has been put over for a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. KWASNIK: Thank you. THE COURT: What about character of or truthfulness? I don't think we had that specific procedure ever followed. MR. MCCRIRIE: I think, Judge, that I attacked but not generally was typically their reputation in the community for truthfulness or untruthfulness. THE COURT: Yeah. I didn't see that. MR. KWASNIK: That's fine, Your Honor. I leave it to the Court's discretion. THE COURT: And what about the statements the Defendant supposedly made? Actually, they appeared to be exculpatory, not incriminatory in any way. I don't see why it would be a problem if they are in or out.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. MCCRIRIE: Thank you, Judge. MR. KWASNIK: Thank you, Your Honor. (Jury back at 9:16 a.m.) THE COURT: Okay. Everybody here? Okay. Have a seat. All right. The lawyers and I went over prepared exhibits, as I told you, and then today they came in and they had a couple of others I had to review, so that took a little time, and then I had another case I was going to try today and we were hopeful of getting some sort of a resolution on it. That's why I was in here with the attorneys. And the gentleman can't speak English, so we've had an interpreter and one thing or other. And that case has been put over for a forensic evaluation and that's why we are late. So these two
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. KWASNIK: Thank you. THE COURT: What about character of or truthfulness? I don't think we had that specific procedure ever followed. MR. MCCRIRIE: I think, Judge, that I attacked but not generally was typically their reputation in the community for truthfulness or untruthfulness. THE COURT: Yeah. I didn't see that. MR. KWASNIK: That's fine, Your Honor. I leave it to the Court's discretion. THE COURT: And what about the statements the Defendant supposedly made? Actually, they appeared to be exculpatory, not incriminatory in any way. I don't see why it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. MCCRIRIE: Thank you, Judge. MR. KWASNIK: Thank you, Your Honor. (Jury back at 9:16 a.m.) THE COURT: Okay. Everybody here? Okay. Have a seat. All right. The lawyers and I went over prepared exhibits, as I told you, and then today they came in and they had a couple of others I had to review, so that took a little time, and then I had another case I was going to try today and we were hopeful of getting some sort of a resolution on it. That's why I was in here with the attorneys. And the gentleman can't speak English, so we've had an interpreter and one thing or other. And that case has been put over for a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. KWASNIK: Thank you. THE COURT: What about character of or truthfulness? I don't think we had that specific procedure ever followed. MR. MCCRIRIE: I think, Judge, that I attacked but not generally was typically their reputation in the community for truthfulness or untruthfulness. THE COURT: Yeah. I didn't see that. MR. KWASNIK: That's fine, Your Honor. I leave it to the Court's discretion. THE COURT: And what about the statements the Defendant supposedly made? Actually, they appeared to be exculpatory, not incriminatory in any way. I don't see why it would be a problem if they are in or out.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. MCCRIRIE: Thank you, Judge. MR. KWASNIK: Thank you, Your Honor. (Jury back at 9:16 a.m.) THE COURT: Okay. Everybody here? Okay. Have a seat. All right. The lawyers and I went over prepared exhibits, as I told you, and then today they came in and they had a couple of others I had to review, so that took a little time, and then I had another case I was going to try today and we were hopeful of getting some sort of a resolution on it. That's why I was in here with the attorneys. And the gentleman can't speak English, so we've had an interpreter and one thing or other. And that case has been put over for a forensic evaluation and that's why we are late. So these two Gentlemen will now give you their closing arguments. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. KWASNIK: Thank you. THE COURT: What about character of or truthfulness? I don't think we had that specific procedure ever followed. MR. MCGRIRIE: I think, Judge, that I attacked but not generally was typically their reputation in the community for truthfulness or untruthfulness. THE COURT: Yeah. I didn't see that. MR. KWASNIK: That's fine, Your Honor. I leave it to the Court's discretion. THE COURT: And what about the statements the Defendant supposedly made? Actually, they appeared to be exculpatory, not incriminatory in any way. I don't see why it would be a problem if they are in or out. MR. KWASNIK: Again, Court's discretion.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. KWASNIK: Thank you, Judge. MR. KWASNIK: Thank you, Your Honor. (Jury back at 9:16 a.m.) THE COURT: Okay. Everybody here? Okay. Have a seat. All right. The lawyers and I went over prepared exhibits, as I told you, and then today they came in and they had a couple of others I had to review, so that took a little time, and then I had another case I was going to try today and we were hopeful of getting some sort of a resolution on it. That's why I was in here with the attorneys. And the gentleman can't speak English, so we've had an interpreter and one thing or other. And that case has been put over for a forensic evaluation and that's why we are late. So these two Gentlemen will now give you their closing arguments. Thank you,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. KWASNIK: Thank you. THE COURT: What about character of or truthfulness? I don't think we had that specific procedure ever followed. MR. MCGRIRIE: I think, Judge, that I attacked but not generally was typically their reputation in the community for truthfulness or untruthfulness. THE COURT: Yeah. I didn't see that. MR. KWASNIK: That's fine, Your Honor. I leave it to the Court's discretion. THE COURT: And what about the statements the Defendant supposedly made? Actually, they appeared to be exculpatory, not incriminatory in any way. I don't see why it would be a problem if they are in or out. MR. KWASNIK: Again, Court's discretion. MR. MCCRIRIE: I don't mind, Judge.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. MCCRIRIE: Thank you, Judge. MR. KWASNIK: Thank you, Your Honor. (Jury back at 9:16 a.m.) THE COURT: Okay. Everybody here? Okay. Have a seat. All right. The lawyers and I went over prepared exhibits, as I told you, and then today they came in and they had a couple of others I had to review, so that took a little time, and then I had another case I was going to try today and we were hopeful of getting some sort of a resolution on it. That's why I was in here with the attorneys. And the gentleman can't speak English, so we've had an interpreter and one thing or other. And that case has been put over for a forensic evaluation and that's why we are late. So these two Gentlemen will now give you their closing arguments. Thank you. MR. KWASNIK: Thank you. Ladies and gentlemen, I want to start by thanking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. KWASNIK: Thank you. THE COURT: What about character of or truthfulness? I don't think we had that specific procedure ever followed, MR. MCGRIRIE: I think, Judge, that I attacked but not generally was typically their reputation in the community for truthfulness or untruthfulness, THE COURT: Yeah. I didn't see that. MR. KWASNIK: That's fine, Your Honor. I leave it to the Court's discretion, THE COURT: And what about the statements the Defendant supposedly made? Actually, they appeared to be exculpatory, not incriminatory in any way. I don't see why it would be a problem if they are in or out. MR. KWASNIK: Again, Court's discretion, MR. MCCRIRIE: I don't mind, Judge. THE COURT: It's out.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. KWASNIK: Thank you, Judge. MR. KWASNIK: Thank you, Your Honor. (Jury back at 9:16 a.m.) THE COURT: Okay. Everybody here? Okay. Have a seat. All right. The lawyers and I went over prepared exhibits, as I told you, and then today they came in and they had a couple of others I had to review, so that took a little time, and then I had another case I was going to try today and we were hopeful of getting some sort of a resolution on it. That's why I was in here with the attorneys. And the gentleman can't speak English, so we've had an interpreter and one thing or other. And that case has been put over for a forensic evaluation and that's why we are late. So these two Gentlemen will now give you their closing arguments. Thank you,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. KWASNIK: Thank you. THE COURT: What about character of or truthfulness? I don't think we had that specific procedure ever followed. MR. MCCRIRIE: I think, Judge, that I attacked but not generally was typically their reputation in the community for truthfulness or untruthfulness. THE COURT: Yeah. I didn't see that. MR. KWASNIK: That's fine, Your Honor. I leave it to the Court's discretion. THE COURT: And what about the statements the Defendant supposedly made? Actually, they appeared to be exculpatory, not incriminatory in any way. I don't see why it would be a problem if they are in or out. MR. KWASNIK: Again, Court's discretion. MR. MCCRIRIE: I don't mind, Judge. THE COURT: It's out. MR. KWASNIK: Your Honor, I do have one issue. I've	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. MCCRIRIE: Thank you, Judge. MR. KWASNIK: Thank you, Your Honor. (Jury back at 9:16 a.m.) THE COURT: Okay. Everybody here? Okay. Have a seat. All right. The lawyers and I went over prepared exhibits, as I told you, and then today they came in and they had a couple of others I had to review, so that took a little time, and then I had another case I was going to try today and we were hopeful of getting some sort of a resolution on it. That's why I was in here with the attorneys. And the gentleman can't speak English, so we've had an interpreter and one thing or other. And that case has been put over for a forensic evaluation and that's why we are late. So these two Gentlemen will now give you their closing arguments. Thank you. MR. KWASNIK: Thank you. Ladies and gentlemen, I want to start by thanking you for being part of this process. I have been a Prosecutor for a little over 10 years. Tried a lot of cases in this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. KWASNIK: Thank you. THE COURT: What about character of or truthfulness? I don't think we had that specific procedure ever followed. MR. MCGRIRIE: I think, Judge, that I attacked but not generally was typically their reputation in the community for truthfulness or untruthfulness. THE COURT: Yeah. I didn't see that. MR. KWASNIK: That's fine, Your Honor. I leave it to the Court's discretion. THE COURT: And what about the statements the Defendant supposedly made? Actually, they appeared to be exculpatory, not incriminatory in any way. I don't see why it would be a problem if they are in or out. MR. KWASNIK: Again, Court's discretion, MR. MCCRIRIE: I don't mind, Judge. THE COURT: It's out. MR. KWASNIK: Your Honor, I do have one issue. I've got a demonstrative exhibit that I am planning on using in my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. MCCRIRIE: Thank you, Judge. MR. KWASNIK: Thank you, Your Honor. (Jury back at 9:16 a.m.) THE COURT: Okay. Everybody here? Okay. Have a seat. All right. The lawyers and I went over prepared exhibits, as I told you, and then today they came in and they had a couple of others I had to review, so that took a little time, and then I had another case I was going to try today and we were hopeful of getting some sort of a resolution on it. That's why I was in here with the attorneys. And the gentleman can't speak English, so we've had an interpreter and one thing or other. And that case has been put over for a forensic evaluation and that's why we are late. So these two Gentlemen will now give you their closing arguments. Thank you. MR. KWASNIK: Thank you. Ladies and gentlemen, I want to start by thanking you for being part of this process. I have been a Prosecutor
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. KWASNIK: Thank you. THE COURT: What about character of or truthfulness? I don't think we had that specific procedure ever followed. MR. MCGRIRIE: I think, Judge, that I attacked but not generally was typically their reputation in the community for truthfulness or untruthfulness. THE COURT: Yeah. I didn't see that. MR. KWASNIK: That's fine, Your Honor. I leave it to the Court's discretion. THE COURT: And what about the statements the Defendant supposedly made? Actually, they appeared to be exculpatory, not incriminatory in any way. I don't see why it would be a problem if they are in or out. MR. KWASNIK: Again, Court's discretion. MR. MCCRIRIE: I don't mind, Judge. THE COURT: It's out. MR. KWASNIK: Your Honor, I do have one issue. I've got a demonstrative exhibit that I am planning on using in my closing argument. It's just a timeline of events that we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. KWASNIK: Thank you, Judge. MR. KWASNIK: Thank you, Your Honor. (Jury back at 9:16 a.m.) THE COURT: Okay. Everybody here? Okay. Have a seat. All right. The lawyers and I went over prepared exhibits, as I told you, and then today they came in and they had a couple of others I had to review, so that took a little time, and then I had another case I was going to try today and we were hopeful of getting some sort of a resolution on it. That's why I was in here with the attorneys. And the gentleman can't speak English, so we've had an interpreter and one thing or other. And that case has been put over for a forensic evaluation and that's why we are late. So these two Gentlemen will now give you their closing arguments. Thank you. MR. KWASNIK: Thank you. Ladies and gentlemen, I want to start by thanking you for being part of this process. I have been a Prosecutor for a little over 10 years. Tried a lot of cases in this court and others, and I got to say that this has been largely a unique experience.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. KWASNIK: Thank you. THE COURT: What about character of or truthfulness? I don't think we had that specific procedure ever followed. MR. MCGRIRIE: I think, Judge, that I attacked but not generally was typically their reputation in the community for truthfulness or untruthfulness. THE COURT: Yeah. I didn't see that. MR. KWASNIK: That's fine, Your Honor. I leave it to the Court's discretion. THE COURT: And what about the statements the Defendant supposedly made? Actually, they appeared to be exculpatory, not incriminatory in any way. I don't see why it would be a problem if they are in or out. MR. KWASNIK: Again, Court's discretion, MR. MCCRIRIE: I don't mind, Judge. THE COURT: It's out. MR. KWASNIK: Your Honor, I do have one issue. I've got a demonstrative exhibit that I am planning on using in my closing argument. It's just a timeline of events that we created for purposes of closing. I think that the proper	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. KWASNIK: Thank you, Judge. MR. KWASNIK: Thank you, Your Honor. (Jury back at 9:16 a.m.) THE COURT: Okay. Everybody here? Okay. Have a seat. All right. The lawyers and I went over prepared exhibits, as I told you, and then today they came in and they had a couple of others I had to review, so that took a little time, and then I had another case I was going to try today and we were hopeful of getting some sort of a resolution on it. That's why I was in here with the attorneys. And the gentleman can't speak English, so we've had an interpreter and one thing or other. And that case has been put over for a forensic evaluation and that's why we are late. So these two Gentlemen will now give you their closing arguments. Thank you. MR. KWASNIK: Thank you. Ladies and gentlemen, I want to start by thanking you for being part of this process. I have been a Prosecutor for a little over 10 years. Tried a lot of cases in this court and others, and I got to say that this has been largely
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. KWASNIK: Thank you. THE COURT: What about character of or truthfulness? I don't think we had that specific procedure ever followed. MR. MCGRIRIE: I think, Judge, that I attacked but not generally was typically their reputation in the community for truthfulness or untruthfulness. THE COURT: Yeah. I didn't see that. MR. KWASNIK: That's fine, Your Honor. I leave it to the Court's discretion, THE COURT: And what about the statements the Defendant supposedly made? Actually, they appeared to be exculpatory, not incriminatory in any way. I don't see why it would be a problem if they are in or out. MR. KWASNIK: Again, Court's discretion. MR. MCCRIRIE: I don't mind, Judge. THE COURT: It's out. MR. KWASNIK: Your Honor, I do have one issue. I've got a demonstrative exhibit that I am planning on using in my closing argument. It's just a timeline of events that we created for purposes of closing. I think that the proper procedure, though, would be to admit it as a demonstrative	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. KWASNIK: Thank you, Judge. MR. KWASNIK: Thank you, Your Honor. (Jury back at 9:16 a.m.) THE COURT: Okay. Everybody here? Okay. Have a seat. All right. The lawyers and I went over prepared exhibits, as I told you, and then today they came in and they had a couple of others I had to review, so that took a little time, and then I had another case I was going to try today and we were hopeful of getting some sort of a resolution on it. That's why I was in here with the attorneys. And the gentleman can't speak English, so we've had an interpreter and one thing or other. And that case has been put over for a forensic evaluation and that's why we are late. So these two Gentlemen will now give you their closing arguments. Thank you. MR. KWASNIK: Thank you. Ladies and gentlemen, I want to start by thanking you for being part of this process. I have been a Prosecutor for a little over 10 years. Tried a lot of cases in this court and others, and I got to say that this has been largely a unique experience.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. KWASNIK: Thank you. THE COURT: What about character of or truthfulness? I don't think we had that specific procedure ever followed. MR. MCGRIRIE: I think, Judge, that I attacked but not generally was typically their reputation in the community for truthfulness or untruthfulness. THE COURT: Yeah. I didn't see that. MR. KWASNIK: That's fine, Your Honor. I leave it to the Court's discretion, THE COURT: And what about the statements the Defendant supposedly made? Actually, they appeared to be exculpatory, not incriminatory in any way. I don't see why it would be a problem if they are in or out. MR. KWASNIK: Again, Court's discretion. MR. MCCRIRIE: I don't mind, Judge. THE COURT: It's out. MR. KWASNIK: Your Honor, I do have one issue. I've got a demonstrative exhibit that I am planning on using in my closing argument. It's just a timeline of events that we created for purposes of closing. I think that the proper procedure, though, would be to admit it as a demonstrative exhibit and to keep it for appellate purposes later on.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. KWASNIK: Thank you, Your Honor. (Jury back at 9:16 a.m.) THE COURT: Okay. Everybody here? Okay. Have a seat. All right. The lawyers and I went over prepared exhibits, as I told you, and then today they came in and they had a couple of others I had to review, so that took a little time, and then I had another case I was going to try today and we were hopeful of getting some sort of a resolution on it. That's why I was in here with the attorneys. And the gentleman can't speak English, so we've had an interpreter and one thing or other. And that case has been put over for a forensic evaluation and that's why we are late. So these two Gentlemen will now give you their closing arguments. Thank you. MR. KWASNIK: Thank you. Ladies and gentlemen, I want to start by thanking you for being part of this process. I have been a Prosecutor for a little over 10 years. Tried a lot of cases in this court and others, and I got to say that this has been largely a unique experience. After having sat through this trial for two days, I

18

19

20

21

22

23

like I did something improper, please do not hold that against Madison Bostwick.

2

3

5

6

7

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So much of this evidence in this case has been 4 twisted and manipulated as to timelines and things of that nature that I think it's important we take a moment to look at really what is the one question that you folks as jurors need to answer in this case? What is the one question? That one question is, do you believe Madison Boatwick? Do you believe her when she sat here in this chair and told you about the 10 times that this Defendant, her stepfather, sexually abused 11 her? And it really comes down to my recollection is she 12 talked about five different instances, and we've got four charged. So of the four that are charged, do you believe her 14 about those instances? That's it.

In my opening statement I talked about the fact that this case would come down to vulnerability and manipulation and sexual assault. And as we look at the facts, I want to talk to you about that vulnerability. And Maddle's vulnerability was on display here over the last two days of trial. This is a 17 year old soon to be high school senior. She is spending her last week of summer vacation in a courtroom. She did not want that. She didn't ask for that.

She has sat and listened to her character being assassinated not only by a stranger, but by her mother and her stepfather, two of the people that should be closest to her in

okay. Why? Because two years ago in 2013, she was 14, and now two years later her sister is about that same age. You 2 3 see them. You've got a chance to witness them both. They look very similar, and now she is just making sure that this doesn't happen to her sister. 5

6 Maddle's motivation in this case has been repeatedly attacked over and over again. Why would she make this up? 7 She is making this up because of this. Well, what do we know? 8 We know that dad lived in Frankenmuth. He had had plans to Q 10 come back to Lansing to be closer to his daughters, but those plans were not set in place. They had fallen through, as 11 12 Stefani had talked about. And we also knew she had planned on staying in Holt schools, and she had no interest in moving to 13 Frankenmuth and starting a new school halfway through their 14 15 junior year. She had a boyfriend at that time. She had roots 16 here. She wasn't planning on going anywhere.

And also know that there was no acrimony between Ryan Bostwick and Stefani Alexander. She testified the other day, yeah, we didn't use courts. We worked out parenting on our own. There was no problem. We co-parented. We talked about the fact that, yeah, he did want to move back closer to his daughters, and good for him to be more part of their lives if given the opportunity and still make a living.

Well, what did she say about what the plan was for 24 that? Well, he moved back. It would be 50/50. There was no 25

the world and were closest to her. She never asked for that and she never wanted that.

So ladies and gentlemen, do not think for a moment that it is her presence here in this courtroom that is the cause of her pain, because that is not the case. Her pain was caused by this Defendant years ago, and her pain has been continued since she disclosed to her mother over these last months. What we have here is just a culmination of the actions of this Defendant.

She told her sister. She told her boyfriend and she told her mom. I want to talk about those disclosures. Think back and -- we talked a little bit about timeline. It's important. We talk about she told her sister. The events happened here in the spring of 2013. She tells her sister in 15 December of 2014, over Christmas break. Dad lives in 16 Frankenmuth. That's an important fact. There was no -- there was no plan in place to have dad move back to Lansing at that particular time. First disclosure, Madison Bostwick, December of 2014.

She did not begin by telling her sister even. She began by asking her sister, did Brian ever do these things to you? Why is that important? Because she is not trying to get anyone in trouble. She is never trying to get anyone in trouble. She is trying to protect her sister. She is beginning her own inquiry as to making sure her sister is

plan in place to switch custody, and that this - that's where this is coming from. None of that existed at the time in December of 2014, when Madison Bostwick first came forward.

4 None of it. In fact, Stefani Alexander is the one who said,

yeah. I wasn't crazy about the 50/50 time split. I wanted the kids with me all the time. There is no motivation on the side 6 7 of Maddie at that particular time.

8 Even if -- wouldn't it make sense -- and remember Q who she disclosed to. She disclosed to Mackenzie after Mackenzie asked her about it. If the motivation was, I want 10 to leave my mother and stepdad and I want to have an 11 12 opportunity to go with my dad, why wouldn't she tell her dad? She doesn't tell her dad. She tells Mackenzie. And what do 13 they come up with? They say - Mackenzie says, I am going to 14 15 tell someone if this happens again. There was absolutely no plan in place to let anyone else know about this. There was 16 no plan to tell anyone of authority that could actually make a 17 change. This was simply big sister looking out for little 18 19 sister, period.

20 Next she tells boyfrlend Gauge. In January she tells - go back to school and she tells Gauge, her boyfriend. 21 By the way, testified no sexual contact with Gauge at that 22 time of disclosure. Why is that important? Because this idea 23 24 of attacking motive because she is hiding these things from 25 her mother, that wasn't the case.

7

8

What was the case? What do we know from Maddle and from Gauge? Well, I asked Gauge what did dating mean to you back then as he was a sophomore in high school? She was a junior in high school. And he said, well, we kind of hung out together at school and I tried to kiss her. Okay. That's what we are talking about here. That's the extent of the dating relationship at that time. Is that anything to hide? is there any motivation for this kind of disclosure? Of 9 course not. They are friends who began to hang out. They 10 called it dating.

11 You know a lot of talk has been made about, well, 12 geeze, Maddle and the Defendant seemed to have a very normal 13 relationship throughout this process. All right. Very normal, No signs that anything else had happened. Well, 14 yeah, because in the 10 years that she had known him as her 15 16 father figure, her daily interactive father figure, things 17 were good. We are talking about a maximum of five times, five separate instances in the 10 plus years that these folks knew 18 19 each other. Her interaction with him in a normal situation was normal, and what a relief it must have been to be able to 20 21 go back and interact with this person, who is your stepfather, 22 in a normal reaction, because that's what's comfortable. That's where she -- that's her whole experience with him outside of these five experiences. And she talked 25 specifically about the fact that he - he was really - he

those reactions he seemingly - the Defendant seemingly acted normal all these other times, and it made her question herself 2 3 at times.

She is not telling Gauge to get the Defendant in trouble. She is telling Gauge because she is talking to him 5 6 about being her boyfriend. He is a close friend of hers and she is sharing a dark secret with him. That's what you do with close friends.

9 Maddle told her sister. She told her boyfrlend. 10 She told her mom. Maddle decides she is going to tell her mom. Again, why did she do that? It's not because she wanted 11 to get the Defendant in trouble, not in this context with the 12 law. She testified it was because she thought her mom had a 13 right to know. At that point she is very confused and she 14 15 reaches out and says - and she testified to this, as well. I 16 wanted help from my mom. That was her testimony.

17 Stefani Alexander sat here on Tuesday and she 18 testified and she talked about the demeanor of Madison Bostwick when she told her this. And now we are up to 19 disclosure to Stefani Alexander, the mom. She talked about 20 her demeanor. Said she was clearly upsel. This is not a 21 stranger. This is her own child who she has known since birth 22 23 and she knows when she is genuinely upset or not. Common sense will tell you anyone who knows somebody who has had a 24 25 child, who has had a brother or a sister and grown up with

became a different person when these things went on. 1 2

THE COURT: Can I see the attorneys up here? (Side bar)

4 THE COURT: Somy.

23

24

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

MR. KWASNIK: So that idea that somehow the fact that they have this normal relationship is somehow evidence 6 that it didn't happen? It doesn't - doesn't fly. It doesn't -- it doesn't fly within common sense of what it was like for Maddle to Interact.

When we think about Maddle as a 14 year old girl this is, I have placed People's Exhibit 1 on the ELMO. We are talking about a 14 year old girl's body and biological and brain development. Is it surprising that a 16 year old Maddie having this experience with the Defendant in her past, that as her body and mind and things are changing and she becomes 16, that maybe some of these things come back to her? That now as she is engaging with a boyfriend and they are trying to navigate what this relationship might be and if there is going to be any physical aspects to it, that maybe her past experiences at that particular time are coming back to her and making it more forefront in her brain?

21 22 You remember, Maddle testified that she questioned 23 whether this was - was this her own fault? Had she done this somehow? Because that's part of the - of the manipulation. 24 That's part of the - of the confusion of this child is that 25

that person, you look at them and they are genuinely upset. 1 You know it, and she knew it. She testified that she knew it. 2

3 No one in this case is saying that Stefani Alexander 4 was put in an easy position. It's not at all. And we can have sympathy for the position that the Defendant put her in. 6 but the bottom line is, at the time that Madison Bostwick went to her mom for help, as she testified, what did she get? She 7 got, is this really -- if this really happened you tell him 8 9 what you told me or something to that effect. That's not a direct quote but that's what she testified. And I stood right 10 here, and the way - the tone in which she said that surprised 11 12 me, and I said, that's what you told her in that tone? And 13 she repeated it. Said, yup, that's what I said. That tone says it all. Madison Bostwick went to her mom for help and 14 15 she got back, let's go in and talk to the Defendant and you 16 tell him what you told me, in a tone of disbellef.

17 Since that confrontation, mom testified, or shortly thereafter, she has contact with the Defendant every day. 18 Talks to him every day. There was testimony about the fact 19 20 that minutes after, or shortly thereafter, she testifled in the district court in full view of Maddie. She could be seen 21 22 interacting with mutual friends and the Defendant right outside the courtroom. And at that time she had the nerve to 23 say, no, my relationship with Maddle wasn't awkward at that 24 25 time at ali. And that's what I pointed out, February 26th,

testimony at the preliminary examination. Relationship between Madison and Stefani and mom becomes awkward. If it hadn't already been awkward it certainly was awkward for all to see at that time.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Stefani Alexander has - has looked for and found some very typical teenage lies about Madison, and she has taken those lies and she has retroactively fitted - fit it upon that initial disclosure that happened way back in January of 2015, as an excuse, well, yup, this is - this is why I want to see it. She is supporting her own wishes for it didn't happen, and that's almost understandable in the sense that for Stefani Alexander, if this didn't happen, that makes 13 her life a lot better. But the truth is as any parent knows, at that particular moment when she was disclosed to by her daughter, who was upset, and told her what had happened two years before, she knew and she made a choice, and that choice was to not believe Madison, and then she has worked to find reasons to support that.

Imagine for a moment what that must have been like for Madison to go to her mother for support and be met with disbelief? Is it any wonder that she waited from 2013 until 2015 to come forward?

Talking about that confrontation she had with the Defendant and where even the Defendant talked about the emotions that were showed and she was crying and upset, and

15

he, like his wife, has known her for years and he knows that this was genuine. He knows better than anyone. She testified that that confrontation went on for a long time. Despite that, no police report was made. No police report was made.

At that time the Defendant went and stayed with a friend. So when you think back about the vulnerability of Maddle Bostwick in this case, I want you to think about that. I want you to think about her as a 14 year old child frozen in fear and confusion, wanting to say, I don't want this. Don't. Stop. But being unable to do so until the Defendant was done grinding her on himself, her clothed vagina on his clothed penis or him sticking his hands down the back of her pants until he was done and she left - and he left and she would be left to cry by herself in her room, or you can even think about 16 or 17 year old Maddle Bostwick as she stands there in that room with her mother confronting her now state trooper stepfather, who she has known for ten years and who she loves and who she wanted at her cross country meets, because that's the guy she wants. That's the person that she wants there. She likes that guy. She wants him to be part of her life when he's not acting like this.

That vulnerability extends to shortly before trial when her mother secretly records that conversation with her under the guise - at the behest of Defense under the guise of, we don't talk anymore. Stefani Alexander even testified,

I avoided the subject and never talked about it with her. The

one time she wants to talk about it it's for a recording to 3 give to the Defense,

4 Maddle Bostwick, in this case, has been subject to cross examination on two different occasions, in District

5 6 Court and here in Circuit Court. She sat in that chair. My

direct examination of her was about an hour and 15 minutes.

She sat in that chair for close to three hours with an

9 extraordinarily experienced defense attorney against a 17 year

old girl being repeatedly called a liar. That is a rabbit 10

versus a fox in this situation. That was played out right in 11

front of your eyes. And she was able to be intimidated at 12

13 times.

25

14 You know, the Defense got her to admit, and even got Gauge to admit about the lying with the text messages. But 15 16 even that, in looking at People's - excuse me, Defense Exhibit A, even that, was that really the complete picture? 17 18 Let's look at the exhibit itself. Let's see where we are.

Hey, I was planning on - let's move out a little 19 20 bit. Hey, I was planning on coming home and telling my mom 21 you broke up with me today, and I was going to say because you liked someone else, is that okay? And for testifying are we 22 23 going to wonder why we broke up? So I don't know. I'm just 24 thinking.

Okay. Defense got her to admit that she was lying.

17

That she was trying to get him to lie about that. But that's 1

actually not the case. What did Gauge testify to? I kind of

liked somebody else. That's one of the reasons we broke up. 3

4 It was a sliver of what the truth was. It was a partial

5 truth. It wasn't a whole truth. And potentially she was

worried about these other -- the people finding out her

personal business as a 17 year old girl. Not surprisingly.

8 But this idea that she lied - she lied when she said this.

9 No, she didn't. She didn't lie. They did break up. He did

10 still like somebody else. That's actually the truth.

11 They are putting it on the same page. Is that 12 proper? No. That's not proper. That's why we're talking 13 about it, because it's improper. If this wouldn't have happened, none of that would have even come in the case, 14

15 because it's all irrelevant who she - what kind of relation 16 she has with or why she broke up with her boyfriend. Who

cares? It's got nothing to do with this case. The only 17

reason it's part of this case is because of this text message. 18

19 And that's why - and fortunately, when she made the poor

20 decision to send that text message she brought that into this

21 case because it was important to give context to what they are

22 talking about. Because we know when we go back to the

timeline, that her second disclosure in January, they are not 23

broken up. They had no sexual contact. It's not until March 24

25 when Madison texts Gauge, far after she has disclosed -- and

she has disclosed. Far after she disclosed to Madison, to Gauge, to Stefani, had the confrontation with the Defendant, 3 did a forensic interview, met with the Prosecutor, testified at the prelim, it's not until that time that she sends this 5 message. Is this message a good request? No. No. It's not. 6 It's a bad idea by a 17 year old girl who made a dumb decision. But does that mean that she lied about what the Defendant did to her in 2013? Absolutely not. 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Look at this message a little further actually. Maddie, bottom line, I will testify. I'm sorry you don't believe me. That's fine. I promise your pain will leave you. She says, it won't. Promise me you won't hurt anything. Then she says, because I trusted you. I trusted Bry. Which I asked her specifically who is that? She said that's the Defendant, Brian. And I trusted Chase, and you are all assholes.

This text message itself, she is telling Gauge she is reiterating to Gauge, these are people that hurt me. These are people that broke my trust, including the Defendant. And she puts it right there in black and white. And she does later on come back and say, hey, I just wanted to make sure 22 you knew to tell the truth about anything. It's important,

23 and I don't want you to lie. Didn't get you in trouble 24 bacause that's not really bad. I never should have asked you

25 to do that. I'm sorry. So just make sure you tell the whole

19

truth - or tell the truth. That's somebody who is trying to lie? That's somebody who is going out of her way to lie?

The only person she told initially who should have done something about this didn't, and that was her mother. It was Mackenzie who confided in her teacher, Mrs. Baker. It wasn't Maddle. And that's how the CPS was contacted, and that's how the police eventually got involved as testified by Ms. Baker and eventually by Detective Harrison.

Even Mackenzle did not set out to tell. And remember when we went back to that first disclosure and she talked about and said, look, I'm - if this happens again, that was the plan. If it happens again, but this is a that's a heavy burden. That's a heavy burden, heavy weight for these children to bear.

No one took this lightly. Mackenzie didn't take it lightly. Gauge didn't take it lightly. Even the Defendant didn't take it lightly when he talked about - when he did these acts themselves, and she talked about the cautious nature about which he casually came in and sat and methodically moved through lying down next to her, engaging her, rubbing her back, rolling her over. This was all done in a methodical, deliberate way. It is truly a shame that the three children in this case, who you heard from, had to bear that burden when all the adults around them seemed to fail.

sexually abused. No one wants to believe that that happens in their home, but everyone who is sexually abused is somebody's 2 daughter, and it takes place in people's homes. Nobody wants 3 to believe that a state trooper or a war hero would be capable 4 5 of this conduct, but he is, and he did.

This case is not about Maddle's vulnerability, but 6 7 Defendant's willingness to manipulate her vulnerability as a 8 child of 14, again, when she disclosed at 16, and manipulate her mother, as well. Because remember, we talked about - I 9 asked Stefani Alexander - the last question I asked her is 10 the information that she gathered, who did she turn that over 11 to? I gave it over to Brian. That part of his manipulation 12 13 is playing the role - playing the role of the officer, of the parent, of the good cross country supporter and a kind of semi 14 15 coach. So when you look at the evidence of this case, that manipulation of Maddie's age, trust and isolation, the 16 17 Defendant's persona makes her vulnerable to that type of 18 ongoing abuse.

I want to talk specifically about some instructions. 19 20 The Court is going to read you an instruction. And that --21 and that instruction is here. I expect that it'll be very similar to this. If this is different from anything that the 22 Judge says be sure to follow the Judge's instructions. This 23 24 is what the People believe that the instruction will say. To 25 prove this charge, testimony of the victim need not be

21

corroborated. To prove this charge it is not necessary that there be evidence other than the testimony of Madison Bostwick 3 if that testimony proves guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

4 Why is that? Why is that instruction there? 5 Because we know that these crimes take place in isolation. 6 They take place in people's homes when there isn't anybody else around. And if you needed more than that, then you would 7 8 never be able to convict anyone potentially who comes forward 9 on a touching case where there is no physical evidence on a touching case or a late disclosure that happened two years 10 ago. You have to have a mechanism for people to get justice 11 and say, this is what happened to me, and that's what this is. 12

Ladies and gentlemen, Madison Bostwick bravely told 13 14 you in detail what happened to her, and it's the position of the People of the State of Michigan that that is enough. That 15 16 that's enough - that testimony was enough to prove this case 17 beyond a reasonable doubt.

18 When - when you look at these kinds of cases, how 19 do you look to corroborating facis? You look at what she said. We know that Maddle at the time had that basement room. 20 That's not in dispute. Everyone says that. We know that it's 21 22 not uncommon for the Defendant to be in the basement playing 23 video games. We know that's where the X-Box was. We know that's where the TV was mounted on the wall, and we know that 24 he played video games.

No one wants to believe their daughter is being

1

12

That was a mistake.

Now, interestingly enough, he is able to say, I never played video games during that time period. I asked you, is that a believable statement? Common sense will tell you if I were to ask anyone, what were you doing two years ago? Did you play video games at that particular time? Who in the world would be able to say whether they did or did not unless they had another motive for coming up with that answer? Especially when you look at the fact that he began as a 911 dispatcher in April, and before that he was a stay-at-home dad.

3

10

11

23

1

2

3

4

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

I am not in any way disparaging stay-at-home 12 parenting. It's very hard and I'm sure it's very involved on 13 a daily basis, but the idea that that particular time - the 14 one thing about stay-at-home parenting is you stay at home. 15 You are there by your X-Box. There is ample opportunity over the course of the day to sit down and do that. And the idea 16 that he would get up here on this stand and say, oh, no. That 17 was a time I was off with the kids. I never played X-Box 18 19 during that time. And they made a very blg deal about the 20 fact that this - that this has been charged or that this has been between April 24th after she got her television and the 21 end of the school dance, and that's our time frame. But if 2.2 you actually look at what's been charged, as the Judge will 24 read an instruction about the venue and time, as it pertains 25 to criminal sexual conduct cases, we can charge a range and in

this case we charged the entire year, 2013.

The only - the only testimony in this case that this incident all occurred between April and the end of the school year came from Stefani Alexander. That's not when Madison Bostwick said. She just said it -- as I know it was at the end of my freshman year. And that actually makes sense, because again, going back two years ago, how do you know necessarily what happened on what particular day? You just know it kind of happened around that time. That's the reason that that court rule or that that jury instruction about crime, about venue and time is there on criminal sexual conduct cases.

23

We know that Maddle didn't have a TV in her room before her birthday certainly, and even after she did have a TV in her room she didn't have Netflix on it, and so as the Defendant testified on Tuesday, she would be in there watching Netflix on her phone. He admitted to Detective Harrison when - and I asked him about it and read the transcript of when he was talking to Detective Harrison, and he said, yeah, I guess I said that, Yeah, I would go - I don't necessarily remember. I certainly could have gone in there and watched 22 television with her. I could have engaged in these wrestling and being on top of her with a choke hold and being behind her with a choke hold. Yeah. I could have. That's what he said

to Detective Harrison. Of course, when he testified here, I

remember specifically the Defense attorney standing with his 2 arms wide open saying, did you ever - I am not even 3 restricting it to this time period. Did you ever engage in anything like this? And he said, oh, no. Is that what he told Detective Harrison or did his statement change? And I asked him specifically about that, and I said, well, you said 6 something differently here, didn't you? And he said, yeah. 7 Yes. I did. I don't dispute that that's what I said. I said, well, was that a mistake on your part? He said, yeah. 9

11 Because he is allowed to make mistakes, of course, 12 in his testimony. He is allowed to say, oh, no. I got that one wrong. I thought about it later on and I got it wrong. 13 But what has been made a huge deal in this case by the 14 15 Defendant? That she testified at the preliminary examination that she came home sick from school. We know she testified 16 that it was in the evening time - that the last sexual 17 assault occurred in the evening time. She wasn't home from 18 school at that time. She had been at school and she came 19 home, but it's - she talked about, again, two years ago, do 20 you really remember? She remembers not feeling well. She 21 22 says that she - that routinely as part of the routine she would contact the - at school she would contact her mother if 23 she didn't feel well and mom would say what? The most 24 commonsensical thing I heard in this whole trial. If you got 25

kide you know it, and if you are at work and you get a call

from your kid and they say, I am not feeling well at school, 2

3 and I say, do you think you can make it the rest of the day?

That rang so true, because that is the experience that a 4

working parent can understand because it happens all the time.

And so she remembers being sick, and she remembers coming 6

home, and she remembers being up in her mom's bedroom where 7

it's comfy and it has a television. But whether she has a TV 8

9 in her room or not, that place is a place of comfort because

it's mom's room. It's where mom is - that's where mom 10

sleeps. There is comfort there.

11 We know the Defendant would go into Maddle's room

13 and talk. She told us that. We know that Maddle was not feeling well. She'd lay in her mom and Brian's bed. TV and 14 that comfort. Now, Stefani said, yeah, she would do that 15 occasionally, but Mackenzie would do it all the time. 16 Mackenzie is the one who gets sick at school, and she is the 17 one that texts me and never Madison. That was her testimony. 18 Is that reasonable, that Maddle never gets sick at school and 19 she never has that same interaction with her as she does her 20 21 other daughter? That's not reasonable.

We know that Defendant would practice self-defense 22 moves on Maddle. And as he told Detective Harrison, that 23 24 would include - you know, it could be that he would lie -25 he'd do it from the front, the back, lying down, all sorts of

instance, as the Judge has pointed out, again, this would be, 1 different directions. All of this evidence works together to corroborate the picture that supports Maddie's testimony. All then, the last instance, where this happened up in mom's bed 2 in the home in the Defendant's bed, and that, again, would be 3 3 4 Madison Bostwick's genital area over the clothing covering Judge Collette will instruct you on the charges that 5 you are to look at in this case, and again, just as I did with that area, 5 6 Then the fourth crime is the incident that happened this one, I am going to show you criminal sexual conduct in in that living room, where the Defendant, she was watching 7 the second degree. I'll take these off so they don't bleed 8 Dance Moms. And she stood up, and the Defendant was hugging through there. Criminal sexual conduct in the second degree. her and she talked about him sliding his pants down the back 9 In this particular case we have four Counts that are all the of her pants, inside her pants, inside her underwear and 10 same. I am going to talk about each Count as it relates to 10 having his bare skin touch her bare skin. So those are the each event as it relates to a Count, but the elements are the 11 11 12 same for each with a slight variation. 12 four crimes. 13 That's not the end of the elements, of course. 13 The Defendant is charged with a crime of second degree criminal sexual conduct, and to prove this charge the 14 Second, that this was done for sexual purposes or could 14 reasonably be construed as having been done for sexual 15 Prosecutor, which is me, must prove each of the following 15 purposes. I think that's pretty self-explanatory in this 16 16 elements beyond a reasonable doubt. particular case. There has been talk about wrestling and 17 17 First, that the Defendant Intentionally touched things like that, but Maddle was very clear as to there were Madison Bostwick's genital area, groin, inner thigh, buttocks 18 18 times that they would screw around and wrestle, but then this 19 19 or breast or the clothing covering that area. 20 time he would be very much a different person. That's not 20 In this particular case as to Count 1, we are what was going on. She was frozen in fear. This was genital talking about the very first time that Maddle was in her room 21 21 to genital grinding in the first three Counts, and there is 22 22 the Defendant came into her room and he rolled her on top and had had the genital to genital contact. So we are saying that 23 really no mistaking the hand going down the back of the pants. 23 And finally, third - excuse me, third, that Madison 24 24 Madison Bostwick's genital area was touched over the clothing 25 Bostwick was 13, 14 or 15 years old at the time of the alleged 25 covering that area. And that is the same for Count 2, which 29 act. There is no dispute about that. She was 14. has to do with - let me double-check that. Count 2 or Count 1 1 2 And that fourth, at the time of the alleged act the 37 2 3 Defendant and Madison Bostwick were living in the same Count 2 has to again do with in that bedroom in the 3 household, and again, there is no dispute about that. 4 same way that the first one occurred in Maddle's bedroom. Please note that the standard when we talked about 5 Again, him rolling her on top, Madison Bostwick's genital area 5 these is beyond a reasonable doubt. It's not beyond any doubt 6 or the clothing covering that genital area, the touching or a shadow of doubt. It's a doubt that is reasonable. And 7 7 there. we may get an opportunity to talk a little bit more about that 8 Count 3 has to do with Defendant Intentionally 8 later on. But for now, I am just going to end by talking touched Madison Bostwick's buttock or the clothing covering about the fact that it's Maddle's age, the Defendant's access 10 that genital area, and this one has to do with the time that 11 he has to her, the power differential that he has in that she talked about being in the living room and the hand being 11 household over her as a father-like figure, the mother's blind 12 12 slid down -13 eye. These are the things that made her vulnerable to both 13 THE COURT: That's not correct. 14 the sexual assault and what has happened since. 14 MR. KWASNIK: It is not correct? 15 And the People ask that as you review this case you 15 THE COURT: No. I have that as No. 4, sir. think about that vulnerability, and you think about that 16 16 MR, KWASNIK: You have that as No. 4? 17 manipulation as it supports the sexual assaults, and at the 17 THE COURT: Yes, conclusion of the deliberations I ask that you return verdicts 18 MR. KWASNIK: Thank you, Your Honor. 18 on all four counts of guilty. Thank you very much. 19 THE COURT: You don't have to thank me, Mr. Kwasnik. 19 THE COURT: Okay. We are going to take a 10-minute 20 20 MR. KWASNIK: All right. So the third instance -21 stretch and then do the other. All right. Don't talk about 21 and again, if I misspeak at all during this particular portion 22 the case. Go on and use the rest room and then I'll bring you of the case, the Judge will read the instructions and the 22 23 back in for closing. 23 elements, and you are to follow what the Judge tells you, and 24 (Off the record at 10:06 a.m.) 24 that is - that's what you'd follow in this case. The same (On the record at 10:16 a.m.) 25 thing if Defense misstates something there. So the third

So here is what I generally ask jurors to do, and I 1 THE COURT: Okay. Bring them in. put it part way into my closing argument. I am going to ask 2 MR. KWASNIK: Your Honor, I have the paperwork you to do the same thing again. When you go back into the whenever you want to look at it. 3 3 jury room or as you are sitting here listening to the 4 THE COURT: Did it say 5? Assistant Prosecutor, here is what I want you to think when he 5 5 MR, KWASNIK: Twice. makes a point or he says something that I can't respond to. I 6 6 THE COURT: Why didn't you charge 5? want you to think to yourselves, what would Bill have to say MR. KWASNIK: I didn't charge the case, Your Honor. 7 7 about that? How would Bill respond to that? That's what I'd 8 THE COURT: Why didn't whoever did it charge 5? 8 like you to think about when he makes his final statement, and 9 9 MR, KWASNIK: Our discretion. recognize he gets to do that again because he has the burden 10 10 THE COURT: Discretion, Of course, Why not? Could 11 of proof. 11 have charged one. Now, I talked to you in your opening statement 12 12 (Jury back at 10:17 a.m.) briefly about what's evidence and what isn't evidence. And 13 THE COURT: Welcome back. All right. I gave you a 13 Judge Collette gave you some of those things in the 14 little break because now Defense Counsel is going to talk, so 14 preliminary instructions, and he talked to you about how everybody please take your seats. Thank you. Go ahead, 15 15 opening statements are not evidence. Closing statements are 16 16 please. 17 not evidence. The questions that the lawyers ask are not 17 MR. MCCRIRIE: Thank you, Judge. 18 evidence. 18 Good morning, folks. On behalf of Brian I want to Now, why is that important? And we talked about 19 thank all of you. I recognize, and Brian recognizes that the 19 that. It's what we as lawyers call argument. Okay? It's not 20 20 commitment that you've made here because all of you coming evidence because within certain limits of the law we can stand 21 here, you don't know if you are going to get called - get 21 called or you are going to stay and then what do you do with up here and say virtually anything that we want to say as long 22 22 as - as long as it is related to what the evidence from this 23 23 the rest of your life, your jobs and everything else that's witness stand and the exhibits that you are going to take back 24 going on as you sit here. Brian and I have been watching 24 into the jury room, as long as it's related to that, okay? throughout this trial, and all of you have been paying 25 31 So what I want you to think about - and you particular attention, taking notes when it's appropriate, and 1 probably all saw me taking notes. Some of you I saw were 2 I want to tell you on his behalf how thankful he is for your watching, writing down notes. I tried to write down some, but 3 attention in this case. what I want you to think about with respect to the 4 Now, some of you I know have sat through jury trials Prosecutor's closing remarks are what was supported by the before or been on juries, and just want to point out a few 5 evidence in this case? Is it just him saying that? Is it 6 things about the layout of the courtroom, all right, and kind just the Assistant Prosecutor saying that or is that really of the way we do things. It's not by accident. Okay. The 7 evidence in this case? Because what he says, what he thinks, Prosecutor sits closest to you because they have the burden of proof. And we as lawyers as we walk into courtrooms across what he does, doesn't matter. What the evidence is what matters, and he has - and he acknowledged this in his closing 10 10 the State of Michigan they are all laid out differently and argument to you that he has the burden of proof, 100 percent 11 people try to decide, well, what side do I sit on? And we all 11 the burden of proof. 12 12 know that when we walk in, the person who has the burden of Judge Collette has already read you an instruction. 13 proof always sits the closest to the jury box. When you walk 13 He is going to read it to you again, and I talked about it in into this courtroom you got them on both sides so it can be 14 14 my opening statement. I said it would be ridiculous for Brian 15 confusing sometimes. But anyhow, the Prosecutor sits over 15 to do it, and he didn't do it in this case, but he could bring 16 here closest to you folks because they have the burden of 16 in the Lansing State Journal, lean back in that chair he's got 17 17 proof. with the wheels on it, open up the paper and do nothing. The 18 The other thing that you are going to hear or see or 18 Prosecutor has to prove the case beyond, not a reasonable 19 19 experience, if you will, is the assistant Prosecutor got up 20 doubt, beyond a reasonable doubt, 20 and he gave you his closing argument. I am going to get up. And we talked a little bit about the different 21 21 I am already up, but I am going to give you my closing burden of proofs, the preponderance of the evidence or the 22 argument, and when I'm all done, I go back and I sit down and 22 more likely than not in civil cases. Clear and convincing 23 23 the Assistant Prosecutor gets to talk to you one more time, evidence. Clear and convincing evidence is that burden of 24 24 and I don't get to respond to that. I've got to sit on my proof that the government has if they want to take someone's 25 25 hands.

10 of 24 sheets

parental rights away from them. So if the government thinks you've done something wrong, they want to take your kids away from them, the burden of proof is clear and convincing evidence, but when the government is before you and they are asking you to take away someone's liberty, to convict them of a crime, the burden of proof is the highest one that we have In the system, and that is beyond a reasonable doubt. And they carry it from the beginning to the end, and when you go back and do your deliberations and that's important, okay? So it's not just good enough that you say, boy, I 10 don't know. I don't know who to believe. I don't know what 11 to believe. That's not beyond a reasonable doubt. They have 12 13 the burden of proving it to you. 14 Now, I normally - you've kind of watched me through 15 the trial. You haven't seen me through a long trial, but I 16 tend to get a little bit animated. And the Assistant Prosecutor is right. I was waving my arms around and doing 17 18 stuff like that, and I stand in front of you now and I am 19 going to be a little bit different in my closing argument. I

am going to get behind the lectern and talk. I think I rewrote my closing argument four times that day that Judge Collette gave us off. And I went through the testimony, and I kind of tried to write down things that I thought were important. Now, with that in mind, if you folks heard something different, if you saw something different, you

35 collectively are probably going to be better, because when I'm

1 2 up asking questions I don't take notes. You saw that, I don't take notes at all. I work from my memory. So I go home 3 4 at night after the trial and take a lot of notes as to what I remember happening. Okay. So I am going to try and point out to you on behalf of Brian Alexander what I think is important in this case, and what I think you folks ought to do with it. So I am going to take it to that second stage what I think you

But there was one thing -- there was one thing that the Assistant Prosecutor talked about in his closing argument that I'm going to start out with, because I thought it was really, really interesting the way he put it. Okay? He - he talked about the little bar around it here. He says - put up the exhibit. It was our Exhibit A, and it was the text message between she and Gauge. A couple teenage kids shooting text messages back. And I got a 21 year old and 22 year old so they can tell me what all those acronyms mean. IKW, or whatever the heck those things are. I don't know quite what the heck they are.

So I asked - as I told you in my opening statement, 22 I am a low tech guy in a high tech world, so I asked Madison and I asked Gauge, both of them, I said, you know, when you dld that text message, what dld you mean? Okay. And both of you - both of them told you folks what they meant. And this

is probably the only place in this trial, the only place in

this trial that I will agree with this Assistant Prosecutor, 2

3 and that is that in all of my years of trying cases I have

never been through anything like this before. I agree with 4

him on that, because I have never had anybody get up in a 5

closing argument and argue to a jury that an exhibit meant 6

7 something different than what his witnesses, both of them,

Gauge and Madison, told you they meant. Because I asked them 8

that question. He didn't, I did. And I said, when you folks Q

10 wrote out this text message back and forth to each other,

Madison, what did you mean? And she says, I meant that I was 11

12 planning to lie when I got in front of the jury. And I asked

Gauge the same thing, I said, what did you mean? He says, 13

well, she was trying to get me to lie when I came in front of 14

the jury. But this Assistant Prosecutor stood in front of 15

you, said, no. That's not really what it meant. That's why I 16 do agree with him. In my years of trying cases I have never 17

experienced anything like that before. 18

19

20

21

22

What - if you think about it, and I argue - I tell my kids this all the time. I said, you know, think about what you just said and let's take what you just said to its logical extension, okay? Let's just take it out. Maybe - as I (ell

people, let's look over the horizon a little bit with this. 23

The logical extension of what the Assistant Prosecutor said to 24

25 you in his closing remark was, okay, don't believe what

Madison told you on the witness stand. Defense Exhibit A 1

2 means something different than what she and Gauge told you.

3 Folks, that should scare you. That should really scare you,

4 because what he is suggesting to you is, again, the logical

5 extension, what he is - he is suggesting to you is, is that

when Madison was on the stand and she said to you, what i 6

meant by that was I was going to go in front of the jury and 7

8 lie, and I was asking Gauge to do the same thing, and he says

9 to you, the Assistant Prosecutor, I don't believe her. I

don't believe her. So if he doesn't believe her why should 10

you? If he doesn't believe her, why should you? Why should 11

12 he stand in front of you and argue to you something different

than what you heard in your own ears? And I am going to get 13

to a couple other instances of that in this case. Okay. But 14

15

that's where he and I agree. I have never been through

16 anything like this.

> Now, I told you I am going to stand here behind the lectern a little bit, and hopefully I won't bore you a little bit, but I am going to try and go over points on behalf of Brian that I think are really important.

> I told you in my opening remarks I am going to ask you to find him not guilty on all of these Counts. Okay. So as I go through this, what I am trying to point out to you is when you go back into that jury room, your sworn oath is to find Brian Alexander not guilty of every single one of these

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

20

21

22

23

24

25

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

ought to do with it, okay?

four Counts. And why? Why? Because they haven't done their job. They haven't met their burden of proof. That's why. And they've got it and this good Judge is going to tell you that.

4

5

6

7

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

22

23

24

25

Now, the Assistant Prosecutor started out in his voir dire talking to you folks about things about, and asking some of you individual questions about big lies, little lies. Okay. I don't know where we all come from, but in my world a lie is a lie is a lie. There aren't big lies and little lies. We don't convict people on what we think are just little lies. 10 That's not what our system is about, but he was trying to to use a term we've seen in the media a lot lately, inoculate 12 the heard. He was going to try and get you folks all comfortable with the fact that his witness was going to take the stand and you were going to find out that she lies. But he was going to try to make you immune to that starting in his voir dire.

come out and look at the timeline he had up there because quite candidly, there have been so many different timelines in this case coming from the witnesses that they have called, it's ridiculous, just ridiculous, okay? But think about it, because Judge Collette is going to tell you that you can use

I'd like you to think about this. The Assistant Prosecutor put up a timeline, okay? I didn't even bother to

your common sense and you can use your everyday experiences

happened, and they can't keep their stories straight. That's why, Because the truth is the truth is the truth. The north 2 won the civil war and this pen is blue. It can't change.

4 Now, let's look at this case. We started out with 5 the Detective on the stand. And let's remember what she called my client, Brian Alexander. She sat up there on that 6 witness stand, and she looked over at Brian Alexander and she 7 called him the offender. The offender. She had made up her 8 9 mind.

10 Now, let's think about that. Let's explore that for a little bit. She had made up her mind why? How do we know 11 12 that? She called him in to interview with him, and before she got him in to interview with her what did she do? She went 13 and got a warrant for his arrest. She really didn't care, 14 except for to get more evidence on him, what his side of the 15 story was. She didn't care. She had a warrant for his 16 17 arrest. She had made up her mind. It was a rush to judgment. He is the offender. And she had people waiting there when she 18 was done with her interview with him to put him in handcuffs 19 and arrest him and take him away because she had made up her 20 mind. And guess what? That's when their investigation ended. 21 It was over at that point with the exception of one thing -22 23 with the exception of one thing. Two weeks before this trial 24 starts they go out to the house and take some pictures. That's how much investigation went into this case after they 25

when you decide this case, okay?

2 if you are telling the truth, the truth never changes, folks. The truth is the truth is the truth. This is 3 a blue pen. I always tell my witnesses when I'm preparing them to testify in Court -- I have the same story. I tell them all the time, okay? I always tell them, I say, you know 6 7 anything about history? And they all nod their heads they know history. I said, did they take history in high school? And they all yes. Yes. I took history in - do you know who 9 won the civil war? Was it the north or south? And I get this 10 bizarre took and they are thinking panicky and I say, who won 11 12 the civil war? And they all say the north won the civil war. 13 And I said you are right. And then I say to them this. I 14 say, is there any question that I can ask you or is there any question that this Assistant Prosecutor can ask you or any 15 question that this Judge can ask you -- is there any question 16 17 that anybody can ask you to change the results of that? And the answer to that is no. Why is that no? It's no, folks, 18 19 because it's history. It's over, It's happened already. And 20 everything that you heard about here in this trial, with the exception of one thing, and I'll get to that, with the 21

exception of one thing is all history. How can history change? It can't. The only way history can change - the only way the stories can change is because people are not being honest and truthful about what got a warrant for his arrest.

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

Why did the Assistant Prosecutor put that jury 2 Instruction up there about one witness? Why did he do that? 3 4 And I did kind of try to - I hope I did a good job of weaving through in my cross exam and my direct exam. I hope I did a good job of weaving through. Everything comes back to one 6 source, okay? It all comes back to that one source. Their 7 whole case rests on that one source, that one source that 8 9 can't keep the story straight.

There is no physical evidence in this case. There is no DNA in this case. There is no phone records. There is no text messages. There is no Kik. There is no Snap Chat. There is none of that. Could they have gotten it? I think, if I'm working from memory, my memory was, well, that would have been tough. Well, you know what, life ain't easy, folks. I mean, is that an excuse to come in here and try and convict 16 my client, to prosecute him and try and convict him because that would be tough? No. It's not. It's not what we - it's not the way we do things. They have a job to do. They didn't do their job, and they are coming in here and trying to sell you a bill of goods. That's what's happening.

21 Let me tell you how hard it was for this Detective. 22 23 Let me tell you how hard it was for her to get off of the fact that she had made up her mind that my client was the offender. 24 Okay. She sat in that witness stand. She sat in that witness 25

stand and I asked her - she was at the preliminary 2 examination, and I asked her about this - this situation where home from school. I asked her. Okay, And I want you 3 folks to think about in your mind how long that Detective sat In that witness stand - how long she sat in that witness stand to answer my question regarding this. My understanding, the fourth time you were home - home from school sick, right? Is that right? And the answer, yes. It took her forever, and I don't know how many times I had to ask her before she 9 10 finally splt it out and finally acknowledged that, yes, 10 11 Madison changed her story. She testified under oath in the 11 preliminary exam unequivocated. Remember - remember what the 12 12 13 13 Detective told you. What she told you was she came up with 14 something - I think I wrote it down -- something about, well, 14 she might have been confused or she might have been mistaken 15 15 16 or she might - I mean, that Detective couldn't spit it out. 16 17 17 She couldn't say the words. And I think I even said that to 18 18 her when I was questioning her. You can't say she iled, can you? She did, folks. You all know that. That's your common 19 19 20 20 sense. Okay, She took the stand. It was a simple thing when 21 she got asked in the preliminary examination. She got asked 21 22 that. She said, yes. She was home from school sick. 22 23 23 Okay. Now, let's look at what happened after that. 24 24 Finally, the Detective was willing to admit that their witness 25 25 lled, Madison, the single source, if you will, okay? What did they do with that information? Absolutely nothing. Nothing. 2 What did Stefani do? She went to school and got the records. 3 Is that that hard? And she got the records and the school 3 4 records, our exhibit, not theirs, say she didn't miss school. That wasn't too hard to go get those things, and we give them to them, to the Prosecutor, to the police. What do they do 6

communication about her staying at school and coming home later on. The look on this Detective's face was priceless. 3 4 And I asked her when she was on the witness stand, you will recall, I said to her, you heard it for the first 5 time in this courtroom out of Madison's mouth, didn't you? 6 7 And the Detective's response was, yes. She did. So what we know is - what we know because we all 8 have common sense, we know that Madison went to Court, took an 9 oath, told the story. The police did nothing. Mom went out, and good school records and showed that that wasn't accurate. Did anyone bring Madison back in and talk to her about that after the school records? Did the police bring her back in and say, what's going on? You testified that -- that you missed school and now we got the school records and that's not true? No. Nothing. Not a cotton picking thing happened. Now, then what happens is, is that maybe -- maybe Madison is in a situation where she's going, wow. Now the Defense has got this thing to show that I told one story at the exam and now this, so I'm going to wait, and when I testify - because we know she had been thinking about testifying through the text messages with Gauge - this is what I'm going to say when I am In front of the jury. This is what my story is, because if I wait until I am sitting in the courtroom and I say that, then nobody can go out and confirm

messaged back and forth with my mom, and they had some

with them? Absolutely nothing.

Okay. So now mom is faced with the fact that discrepancies are starting to pop up, okay? Problems are starting to arise. The story is starting to fall apart. Now, remember, the Assistant Prosecutor asked Stefani, where were 12 you during the preliminary examination? And this is another place in his closing argument where I think he wasn't exactly - probably mistaken. I'll say it that way. Stefani sald she was in the victim's room with her daughter. She was there with her daughter supporting her daughter with the victim witness coordinator from the Prosecutor's office and everything when her daughter was testifying in this case. That's not a mother who is not supporting her daughter. But then what happened was is she started to find out that the dots weren't connecting. They just weren't coming together. Okay. And then I'd like you all to think back,

okay? I want you all to think back at that moment when

she says, you are right. I didn't miss school. I text

Madison said in response to my question what Madison said was

or deny what's happened, because she now knows what happens when she tells people things. They go out and check up on her. Okay. That's what happened. And this Detective heard it for the first time.

5 But now when things like this start to happen, okay, a mom brings to the - the attention of the authorities, the 6 police, that we now have inappropriate pictures going back and forth. We know that because we heard about that. We heard 8 9 about Madison in a room doing inappropriate things that meant she couldn't lock the door anymore. We heard about all of 10 11 that stuff. And so what did the police do about that? Absolutely nothing. I mean, these are all things - things 12 13 like this that mom is bringing to the police. And she told 14 you the things that - that her daughter was doing that were escalating, and bad behavior, escalating oriminal behavior, 15 escalating in lying. She brought those things to the police, 16 and you heard what she said. They didn't do anything. And 17 here is what she said to you. She said, here is what 18 19 happened, Bill. When I called this Detective, number one, she lled to me. And the second thing that she did was is when I 20 21 told her - and if you'll recall I asked Stefani specifically, I said, did you give the Detective the specific incidents that 22 you thought that your daughter was lying? She said, yeah. I 23 did. Okay. And I said, what was the Detective's response to 24 that? And the answer back - the response to that was, kids 25

7

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

lle. Kids lle.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6

7

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 So now we've got a situation where mom has found out that Madison is lying, goes to the police with those things, 3 says Madison is lying, and the police basically say, we know. That's what kids do. We are not going to investigate it. And remember, when I pressed this Detective on cross examination 6 about that over and over and over again, you remember what her 7 8 response was to me? Well, I wrote it down. That's not good 9 police work, and that's not what you do. You follow up on it, okey, and find out. Because, of course, you don't want to 10 come into the courtroom and prosecute a person with the single 11 source - remember, the Prosecutor put that up there and said 12 you can rely on a single source - you wouldn't want to come 13 into this courtroom and - and prosecute a person on a single 14 15 source that you know lies. Would we? Of course not. Of 16 course not.

Now, the Assistant Prosecutor talked a little bit about stuff about Brian and the X-Box, and I think all stay-at-home parents should be offended by what he said about kind of it's not really a job, but I'll let that go. But he said, isn't it convenient that now Brian says during that period of time, I wasn't playing X-Box. Well, kind of a glib remark. Not appropriate in a setting like this, but here is what I want to take from it. That X-Box is still sitting there. And if you remember, Stefani Alexander told you she

went on it. Maybe she ought to be a detective, okay, but she went on that X-Box and she said, I checked the log, because it logs you in, okay? And she said, I saw the log-ins for the 3 kids on the kids games, but there weren't log-ins for Brian. Now, why did Stefani have to do that? Why didn't the police do that?

So the Assistant Prosecutor wants to tell you that Brian conveniently forgot that or conveniently didn't play the game. Well, the stuff was there. The evidence was there. They just didn't bother to take the time to go get it, okay? But Mrs. Alexander did take the time to go get it, and you can 12 recall her testimony, and her testimony was, I went on there. I checked it for the times that my daughter told me this happened, the window between her birthday and Farewell dance. I went on there and checked it and Brian wasn't on the X-Box. Just another situation where the credibility is seriously in question.

Now, I want to touch briefly on the third page of that exhibit where Gauge and Madison were conspiring to lie to you guys, okay? The third page is - it talks about Madison texting him and saying, just make sure you tell the truth. Remember, when you look at that back in the jury room, that was after Stefani had caught her in the situation and part of her investigation of this case, okay? She had caught her daughter doing that. Confronted her daughter with that.

Said, you can't lie in court, Madison, okay? Okay? That's not something that you can do. You can't lie in court. And

2

so she got on the text message and contacted Gauge and said, 3 you know what? I've changed my mind. Make sure you tell the

truth in Court. Okay? That's what precipitated that. But

for the investigation by Stefani, we wouldn't know anything 6

about that, because nobody else for goodness sakes was 7

investigating this situation or these lies that they were made 8

aware of. Okav.

9

24

25

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

Now, I want to talk about something else. And I am 10 going to warn you ahead of time I am probably going to cover 11 12 this twice because I was driving home Tuesday night - I live in Brighton. And driving home Tuesday night, as you can 13 imagine, I am spending a lot of time thinking about this case, 14 you know. I am thinking about -- Judge Collette gave us until 15 today to do our closing arguments, and I am thinking to 16 myself, what am I going to say on behalf of Brian, you know? 17 And I don't know when it came to me, but all of a sudden it 18 came to me, all right? And I thought, you know what? You 19 know what? Even the Assistant Prosecutor doesn't believe 20 Madison. Even the assistant prosecutor doesn't believe her. 21 And he kind of helped me out a little bit in his closing 22 23 argument with respect to that, also.

And when I get ready for a case I read everything. My family goes nuts because I take over the kitchen table and

I read it all. I mean, even the most mundane things. And I am getting ready for this trial. I read on the page of the 2 complaint that the Judge has already read you - there is a 3 part where it talks about when it's alleged to have happened. 4 And it says on/or about. Okay? And I read that on this. And it says from 1-1 of '13 to 12-31 of '13. A year-long window. 6 And I thought, I have been doing this a long time, but I have 7

never read an information that charges a full year. I 8 9 thought, I wonder what's that all about? I put it in the back

10 of my head and I kept it.

> And then the Detective is up there on the witness stand, and I am asking her questions, okay, on cross examination. And I said to her - and lawyers hate to ask questions they don't know the answers to by the way, and I didn't know the answer to this because they won't give me copies of their warrant requests, okay, but I asked her -- I said, did you ask the Prosecutor for an on/or about for a year? Did you ask for a year's window in this charge? And she looked at me like I was nuts. And she said, no. I didn't.

And let's think about collectively all of us and let's remember what she said. What she said was - I think I recall that correctly. She said something about February of '13, to June of '13, was the window that she asked forensic when these alleged offenses occurred. Okay. She put that on

20

21

13

14

her warrant request. Well, guess what the Ingham County Prosecutor's Office did? They charged a year. Why? They read the report. They read the evidence, and guess what? It 3 wasn't reliable enough. They didn't believe it enough that they were willing to charge what the Detective asked them to charge. If - because the Detective did the interviews. The Detective talked with Madison. The Detective got the timeline from Madison, and when the Ingham County Prosecutor's Office reviewed it, they didn't believe it, folks, because if they had believed it, they would have charged what the Detective 10 11 asked for. They didn't believe it.

So how can you come into this courtroom -- how can you possibly come into this courtroom and say, we didn't believe the investigation enough that we were comfortable with the timeline that the single source gave us as to when this happened so we have to open this all up this far? Here I am waving my hands again. I apologize, but you know - all this far. So that whatever happens to come in in trial, because all of these stories keep changing, we get a window big enough to do it?

Now, think about that. Think about that when you go back into the jury room. If the Ingham County Prosecutor's Office isn't comfortable enough with the investigation or the information from the single source that comes through their Detective to them as to what the timeline is, why should you

folks believe it? Why should you folks go back into that jury room and conclude that in some way they have proven their case beyond a reasonable doubt that my client did anything wrong? If they don't believe it, you shouldn't either.

5 Now, I am going to kind of go through maybe a laundry list kind of a list of things that I think that you 6 ought to consider when you go back into the jury room. The Assistant Prosecutor had a theme in his opening statement about vulnerability and things like that. There is three of them. It's a common thing in English, a trilogy, hit three 10 11 different things, but one of those things was vulnerability. 12 And he followed up in his closing argument with the 13 vulnerability part of it, but here is what I want you to think about, and I hope I did a good enough job on Brian's behalf 14 this way. But you know, the -- the inference or the argument 15 or maybe the unstated argument in all of this was is that 16 Brian waited for windows of opportunity to do this. Okay. 17 18 But I tried to make a point out of the fact that Brian was a stay-at-home dad for a while. Brian had weird scheduling 19 hours. Brian had all of those things. Brian had plenty of 20 opportunities to be alone with Madison, and as a matter of

So what's inconsistent with that argument about 24 do a quote because I tried to write down what the Assistant

fact, was alone with Madison.

vulnerability and things happening in secret? I don't want to

said something about these situations are things that happen 2 in secret. They are secretive type of things. We know from 3 the testimony about the alleged incident in the basement -14 mean, the family was literally at the top of the stairs in the 5

Prosecutor had said in his opening statement to you, but he

kitchen. The other incidents that she talks about people are 6 home. The house is full. Okay. That's not consistent with 7

what their theory of the case is, and that is, is that these 8 are things that happen in secret. 9

Now, if the testimony had - had - had come out 10 that - that he didn't - he being Brian - didn't have an 11 12 opportunity to be alone or be in secret or just the two of them there, then my argument makes no sense. But what I'm 13 trying to point out to you is that the evidence that I think I 14 brought out in this case is that Brian had plenty of times to 15 just be alone with his daughter - his stepdaughter, excuse 16 me, and nobody is saying at all that anything happened at 17 18 those times. Nothing at all.

So I think that the whole theory of things happening in secret, and that lends credibility to the stories that you are being told, that's out the window. Okay?

22 You know, in - in the Assistant Prosecutor's closing argument he said to you - and I wrote down in my 23 notes kind of reverse logic. I wrote down reverse logic here, 24 because we are trying to paint Stefani as some type of bad 25

person to you now. Okay. You recall in - in the Assistant 1 Prosecutor's opening remarks he told you that one of the 2 3 people he was going to call was Stefani, but he didn't, but I dld. So you got to hear from her. But he is now trying to 4 paint her as a bad person, and he is trying to address with 5 you the delay of a year and-a-half or two years by saying that 6 that somehow - you know, why - why would you disclose to -7 to mom when she treats you that way, when she acts that way towards when you she's not supportive? But that's reverse 9 logic, folks, and here is why it's reverse logic. Because 10 what the Assistant Prosecutor is talking about occurred later 11 on, long after all the disclosures had occurred, okey, after 12 they claim that she said all of those things. That's when -

started to question what's going on here. And that's just 15 natural instinct. You are right. Nobody wants to believe 16

and when these folks weren't doing their job, that's when mom-

this would happen, and all of a sudden you start hearing 17 18 things and you are thinking, that doesn't make any sense.

That's not the way I remember it, and you start looking into 19 20 it because nobody else will. The officials won't, okay? So

to stand before you and say that -- that you ought to just 21

kind of push aside or ignore or somehow discard this waiting a 22 year and-a-half or two years to disclose because mom is not 23 going to be receptive to this because of the way mom acted 24

after she allegedly disclosed, just doesn't make any sense.

54

21

22

23

25

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

Okay.

2

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What we do know from all the testimony in this case is up until that time this is a loving, caring, hugging good night family basically arguing over who gets to go to kid's sporting events type of thing. So there is no evidence at all to show that - that mom would not have been supportive, wasn't supportive, and that mom wasn't there to meet all of her daughter's needs, because she was, and that's what the evidence is in this case.

Got written down here a lot of things I've already gone over with you about admitting lying, changing stories about school, talking about things that can't be confirmed. Okay.

What I want you to - what I want to leave you with and kind of the way I want to leave you is back to my talk about the civil war and my blue pen and about how the stories changing and about how the truth and the facts don't change. Just doesn't happen. Okay. And what we do know is we do know, you know, through all the testimony and the evidence in this case, what we do know, unfortunately, is that the single source that the Prosecution is relying on in this case - this single source that the Prosecution is relying on in this case is an admitted liar, a person who has changed their stories repeatedly, changed their stories for the first time here in the courtroom.

55

These are not the things, ladies and gentlemen, that rise to the level of beyond a reasonable doubt. That's just not beyond a reasonable doubt. You don't have to come back and say, you know, anything other than not guilty. And the not guilty can be just simply they didn't prove their case. They don't have the evidence. They don't have the witnesses. They don't have the elements beyond a reasonable doubt.

And when you go back there on behalf of Brian - I am completely confident that when you go back there, and if you think about what it is I've talked to you about, okay, the lack of investigation, the poor investigation, the fact that the Ingham County Prosecutor's office didn't even believe her when they issued the charges, all of the inconsistencies and changes in stories that you've heard in this case, you are going to be left with only one potential verdict in this case, and that potential verdict that you have is that Brian Alexander is simply not guilty.

And I want you to think about Bill's dumb example about the civil war and Bill's dumb example about the blue pen, okay, and my comment about, in my world a lie is a lie is a lie, and you don't convict people on lies. And the facts don't change, and the law - excuse me, the facts don't change, okay? The facts don't change. The truth doesn't change.

Judge Collette is going to tell you - he is going

to say, you know what? You can use your common sense and you

2 can use your everyday experiences. And what I want you to

think about is - because we have all experienced this in our 3

4 lives before. When people are lying about something or they

are not telling the truth about something or they are trying 5

6 to hide something, they can't keep the stories straight. It

always changes. Little nuances. It doesn't have to be big 7

stuff. You just catch little nuances. You catch small 8

9 things, but when people are telling stories they don't write

down notes. They don't keep track of what they are telling 10

11 one person, to person, to person, to another person. They

can't keep track of it, and that's the only reason that 12

stories change is people can't keep track of what they've told 13 14 anybody else. And it isn't that type of evidence - it's not

that type of evidence on which we find people guilty beyond a 15

16 reasonable doubt.

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

So I am going to ask you on behalf of Brian, first 18 of all, when the Assistant Prosecutor gives you his second closing argument, go back there and think to yourself, what would McCrirle have said in response to what the Assistant Prosecutor told you? And just like I promised you in my opening statement, I am going to ask you to come back with a verdict that's consistent with the law. It's consistent with the facts. It's consistent with common sense. And that is,

25 Brian Alexander is not guilty of all of these charges.

Thank you very much for your time, ladies and gentlemen.

MR, KWASNIK: Excuse my rudimentary statement here. Why? That is one thing that this Defendant, this Defense attorney has not been able to show you. Why? I want you to

6 keep that in mind as we go through this process. 7

Defense counsel is a brilliant attorney, and he did something that was brilliant in his closing argument when he 8 9 talked about even the Assistant Prosecutor does not believe. 10 it would be absolutely improper for me to address that personally. That is reversible error if I were to even talk 11 about my own personal beliefs. So he can throw that out there 12 knowing that I can't respond. That's sneaky, but that's 13 14 brilliant.

15 Thank goodness that we have in this courtroom common sense. Thank goodness that we have all been teenagers at some 16 point and we understand a lie is not a lie is not a lie. 17 18 There are differences in lies. That's common sense. We understand that because it's true. Because you don't come

19 20 home straight from work and you stop at the high school first

does not mean that you are going to accuse your stepfather of 21 22

abusing you on multiple incidents. For what purpose? Why? 23 She gets nothing out of this.

24 So I am not afraid - you can go back in the jury room and you can ask yourselves WWBD, what would Bill do with 25

Page 55 to 58 of 87

16 of 24 sheets

this information, because you can ask yourself that all day long, but he can't answer this question and nobody can, 3 because there is no reason. We talked about beyond a reasonable doubt. What's beyond a reasonable doubt? Judge Collette will read you a set 5 of instructions that specifically addresses reasonable doubt. And basically he is going to talk about it's a fair and honest

doubt arising out of the evidence or lack of evidence. But that's kind of a confusing definition because you use doubt to 10 define doubt.

12 juries with lawyers and judges, because we want people with 13 everyday common senses and experiences. You use your own 14 common sense and everyday experience to try to figure this

Folks, there is a reason that we don't pack these

15 out.

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

hadn't happened yet.

The People have put forth a case that makes common sense. It makes sense that because there is no reason for Madison Bostwick to come forward and do this. She gets nothing out of this other than it happened. That makes common sense.

And the Defense, though, they didn't have to do anything. They did put on a defense. They presented an alternate theory, and so you can look at that alternate theory. That alternate theory is Madison Bostwick is doing this because she wanted to live with her dad or because she

59

wanted to, I guess, get out from under the thumb of the Defendant. But we know that that doesn't make common sense.

3 Take that back to the jury. Ask yourself what would Bill do? And you look at that and you explore it fully, but 5 in the end, you look at it through your lens of common sense, 6 and when you take that theory that they put forward and you look at it through your lens of common sense, it does not 7 stand up. It does not stand up to the timeline which the 9 Defense conveniently just disregarded out of hand. Why did 10 they do that? Because the timeline tells the story. Because 11 when Madison first disclosed in December of 2014, all of the 12 things they want to say is the reason for this disclosure

What is Maddie's motivation to lie? For the fun of telling her sister, telling Gauge, telling her mom, confronting the Defendant, going through a forensic interview, talking to a Prosecutor, going and testifying and subject to cross examination at a preliminary exam, having her mom secretly record her and turn that over to Defense to come up here and testify for three hours about personal things that have nothing to do with this case the week before she's to start her senior year in high school? That's her motivation to tell you folks? As nice as you all seem, you are strangers

24 to her and you don't need to know any of her personal

business. Neither do I. But unfortunately, we all do at this

point.

8

9

10

11

23

24

1

2 She has lost her relationship with her mother. She has moved in with her father in May and - according to the 3 4 timeline and when he moved up here. That means that she doesn't live with her younger siblings anymore. She doesn't have the room she had. She doesn't have this television. 6 7 right, that was attached to the wall.

Knowing that her personal and private behavior that took place two years after the Defendant assaulted her would become subject to the Defense in court, she knows that going

12 You know, it - it - it would have been so easy at 13 any point for her to say, you know what? This is just a misunderstanding. Brian - Brian and I - he is a good guy 14 and we wrestle around a lot, and he may have not understood 15 what he was doing and made me uncomfortable, but I don't think 16 17 he really meant to do anything, and all this would go away. 18 She could have gotten off that train at any time. But to her credit she has not changed her story because the things that 19 20 he did were not a misinterpretation. You don't put a 14 year 21 old girl on your lap as you lay there and grind your genitalla 22 into her and have it be a wrestling move. That's not the way that works. And you don't do it repeatedly, and she knows it and he knows it and she wasn't willing to go back off of that. 25 You have here something that is called collective

memory. When you go back into the jury room, and after you get the instructions from the Judge, and you get the okay to

3 start deliberating, you can start with your collective memory.

And the Defendant - Defense attorney just got up here and he 4

attacked this idea that -- that I misinterpreted or I was

twisting the way that exhibit, the Defense A, came in about 6

Gauge, And that he asked - he asked them what it meant? And 7

8 they told her - told him that it was a ile. You take your

9 collective memory. What was that exchange really like? It

10 was much more like a, isn't it true that blah, blah, blah, and

that was a lie. And he got this 17 year old girl to say yes. 11

12 But what really is the truth? I am not saying that it was -

that she was telling the whole truth or planning to tell the 13

14 whole truth. These are complicated issues. He wants to say a

15 lie is a lie is a lie is a lie. That all lies are the same.

16 Can't be guilty. All lies are the same, or that we want to be

simple with this. Boy, if she was - was - wanted to not

17 18 disclose this other part, and she had planned for that to

19 happen, then nothing she says can be relied on. But that's

not what the law is, and that's not what the jury instruction 20

21 for the credibility will instruct you.

Excuse me. I read a little bit of the credibility 22 23 instruction in my opening statement. Talked about the fact 24 that the Judge will read that to you. Excuse me. That 25 credibility instruction goes through this whole idea, and I

tell anyone, but just be upset about it, be asked by a want you to listen to it very closely. It talks about the teacher, disclose to that teacher, and have child protective 2 fact that you can believe some, all or none of what a witness services call an investigation that would be the -- that's 3 3 tells you. Ask them, do they have - could they see or hear 4 what they are saying. That's their defense that that's what clearly? It goes through a whole list, but it really gets 5 she did in this case in order to make this happen. So in down to the bottom, the last couple. Do they have a 6 addition to why - how - how is this even possible? She motivation to ile? Do - what they say, does it make common 7 can't plan -- possibly plan that out. She is a 17 year old sense? Does it meet your standard of common sense? Does 8 girl. She is not a master criminal. So use your lens of Madison have a motivation to lie? Why would she lie? That 9 common sense and explore that fully, and when you are done 9 question is not - cannot be answered in this case. exploring it, come back with the only thing that does make 10 Madison Bostwick has not changed her story. When 10 sense, and that is what we put forward as the People of the you think back about an event that happened to you, there are 11 11 12 events, a major event, a traumatic event. When you have that 12 State of Michigan. Maddle Bostwick was alone when this happened. She 13 13 kind of trauma, you are concentrated on what is happening right in front of you, and there could be other aspects that 14 was alone when she told her mother. She was alone when she 14 eat here in a room full of strangers. But she had one thing 15 15 have that fall aside. When you are with your child in the on her side, and that's the truth, and it's that truth that store and you turn and suddenly that child is not there, you 16 16 demands justice, and I ask that you all use that truth as the 17 are not concerned about those other ancillary facts. You are 17 concerned about what's going on with that child. And the 18 law allows and believe Maddie Bostwick and return a verdict of 18 guilty on all four counts. Thank you very much. 19 19 trauma is the same for Madison Bostwick. So when she knows 20 THE COURT: All right. We are going to take a short she was in her mother's bed in that room, she knows this is 20 21 break for you to go on and order your lunch. If anybody 21 what happened to her. Whether she was there home sick or home 22 doesn't want pizza then they can get something. The pizzas 22 from school or home after school from sick - as sick, is that 23 are great. So go on back and Kacle will come in, and you can 23 really - is that what this case depends on, or should it 24 look at the menu if you want or have pizza like me. All 24 depend on more that she came in; she has been consistent over 25 right. Don't talk about the case. All right. 25 and over and over again? 1 (Off the record at 11:12 a.m.) Escalating behavior. When the Defense said this i 1 2 (On the record at 11:31 a.m.) 2 loved this, because I want you to use your collective memory. THE COURT: Well, did you all agree on what you 3 Because escalating behavior, bad behavior of Madison Bostwick 4 was mentioned several times in this case. Do you know by who? 4 wanted? 5 JUROR #3: Kind of. By the Defense attorney in his questions. And you know 6 THE COURT: Have a seat everybody. Thank you. what - an answer of what he wanted either from Stefani 6 7 Okay. Let's see. Everybody ready? 7 Alexander or Brian Alexander. He sat - he stood right here 8 Members of the jury, the evidence and arguments in 8 over - at least four times and said, isn't it true that her 9 behavior was - that, you know, prior to this disclosure her 9 the case are finished. I'd like to instruct you on the law. 10 I will explain the law that applies here. Remember that you 10 behavior was - was escalating, and she was doing these things? And they all said, no. No. There was no escalation 11 have taken an oath to return a true and just verdict. It must 11 be based on the evidence and my instructions. You must not 12 12 of behavior. Everything was pretty normal. Had there been a 13 let sympathy or prejudice influence your decision. 13 couple of instances? Sure. But there was no escalation. Now, as our jury you decide the facts of the case. 14 14 That's his word. You have heard it, but you didn't hear 15 them - what we say in our questions is not facts in evidence. 15 You have that job. It's nobody else's. Please remember that you must think about all the evidence and then decide what 16 16 It's the answers. 17 each piece of evidence means and how important you think it 17 THE COURT: You need to wrap up, sir. actually is. And this will include whether you believe these 18 18 MR. KWASNIK: I am, Your Honor. Thank you. 19 witnesses, and what you decide about the facts, is, of course, 19 When you look at their reasoning, their reasoning 20 a final decision. 20 that a 16 year old high school junior planned to move in with 21 Now, I have to instruct you about the law. Please her dad to escape a repressive household rules and discipline, 21 22 take the law as the Court gives it to you. If an attorney 22 and the plan she chose to execute was this, that she would said something different about the law, please follow what I 23 23 tell her younger sister that her younger sister - that they 24 say. At various times I have already given you some 24 would say, we are not going to talk about it anymore. That 25 instructions on the law. Take all of my instructions together then that younger sister would then on her own not even go and

5

6

7

8

9

15

21

22

23

24

25

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

as the law you are to follow. You should not pay attention to some and ignore other instructions. So to sum up, you have the job to decide our facts, apply the law as I give it to you, and in that way decide this matter.

2

7

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

Now, anyone accused of crime is presumed to be Innocent, and that means that you must start with the presumption that this Defendant is innocent. This presumption continued throughout the trial and entitles him to a verdict of not guilty unless you are satisfied beyond a reasonable doubt that he is actually guilty.

Every crime is made up of parts we call the 12 elements. The Prosecutor must prove each element of the crime beyond a reasonable doubt. Now, the Defendant is not required to prove his innocence or do anything. If you find that the Prosecution has not proven every element beyond a reasonable doubt, then you must find the Defendant not guilty.

A reasonable doubt is a fair, honest doubt. It will be growing out of the evidence or lack of evidence. It's not merely an imaginary or possible doubt, but a doubt based on reason and your own good common sense. A reasonable doubt is just that, a doubt that's reasonable after a careful and considered examination of the facts and circumstances in this case.

Now, when you discuss the case and decide on a verdict, you can only consider the evidence that was properly

67

admitted. So it is important for you to understand what is evidence and what is not evidence. Now, in this case the evidence includes the sworn testimony of witnesses and those few exhibits that were admitted and anything else I may have told you to consider.

There is a lot of stuff that's not evidence and you 6 must be careful not to consider those things as such, and I'd 7 like to tell you some of those things. The fact that the Defendant is charged with crime and is on trial is not 10 evidence. Likewise, the fact that he is charged with more than one crime is not evidence. The statements of the 11 12 attorneys and the arguments they make are not evidence. They are only meant to help you understand the evidence and each 13 side's legal theories. The lawyers's questions to witnesses 14 are also not evidence. You should consider the questions only 15 16 as they give meaning to the witness's answers. You should only accept things the attorneys say that are supported by 17 evidence or by your own common sense and general knowledge. 18

Now, the things that I've said, the rulings I've made, questions and my instructions are also not evidence. I 20 have a duty to see that we have a trial conducted under the law and tell you the law that applies here, but when I make a 22 comment or give you an instruction, I am not trying to influence your vote or express my opinion about the case. If you believe, of course, that I have some opinion about how you

should decide the case, pay no attention to that. You are the judges of our facts. You must decide the case from the 3 evidence that you heard.

Now, there were a few times I excluded some evidence that was offered. I even struck some - a few little items of testimony. Those are things that are not to be considered in deciding the case. Make your decision only on the evidence properly let in and nothing else.

Your decision should be based on all the evidence regardless of who produced it. You should use your own common 10 sense and general knowledge in weighing and judging evidence, 11 but you should not use any personal knowledge you may have 12 about a place, person or event. So once again, decide the 13 14 case based on all the properly admitted evidence.

Now, facts can often be proved by direct evidence from a witness or from an exhibit. Direct evidence is about 16 17 what we actually see or hear. And to give you a simple 18 example, if you look outside this afternoon and it's raining, that's direct evidence that it's raining because you actually 19 20 saw it falling yourself.

Facts can also be proved by indirect or circumstantial evidence. That kind of evidence is evidence that normally or reasonably leads to other facts. To give you an example, if you see someone come in from outside, they are wearing a rain coat, it's got little drops of water on it,

69

that would be circumstantial evidence that it's raining. Now, you can consider that kind of evidence. Circumstantial evidence by itself or a combination of circumstantial and 3

direct evidence can be used to prove the elements of a crime. 4 So in other words, you should consider all the evidence that 5

6 vou believe. 7 Evidence has been offered that one or more witnesses

in this case previously made statements inconsistent with their testimony during the trial. You may consider such earlier statements in deciding whether the testimony at this trial was truthful in determining the facts of our case.

Now, when the lawyers agree on a statement of facts those are called stipulated facts. You may regard such stipulated facts as true but you don't have to do that.

Now, you could also consider why this - or whether this Defendant had a reason to commit these crimes, but a 16 17 reason by itself is not enough to find anyone guilty.

The Prosecutor does not have to prove this Defendant 18 had a reason to commit the alleged crimes. He only has to 19 20 show that the Defendant actually committed the crimes and that 21 the Defendant meant to do so.

22 A person's intent may be proved by what they say, 23 what they do, how they did it or by any other facts and 24 circumstances present in our evidence. 25

I have told you before you have to decide the facts.

19

21

23

24

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

20

21

22

23

24

25

You must decide which witnesses you believe and how important you think their testimony is. You don't have to accept or reject everything a person said. You can believe all, none or part of anybody's testimony. In deciding which testimony you believe, you should rely on your own common sense and everyday experience, but in deciding whether you believe a witness's testimony, set aside any bias or prejudice you may have based on their race, gender or national origin or any other reason.

We don't have a set of rules for judging whether we believe witnesses, but the following questions, which I think 10 11 I read earlier, are helpful. Was the witness able to see or 12 hear clearly? How long were things going on or how long were 13 they watching or listening? Were other things going on that 14 may have distracted them? What kind of memory do they present 15 in front of you? How did the witness look and act when testifying? Did they seem to make an honest effort to tell 16 17 the truth or do they seem to evade questions or argue with the 18 attorneys? How about the person's age and maturity, does that 19 affect their testimony? Does the wilness have a bias, 20 prejudice or personal interest in how the matter is decided? 21 And have there been promises, threats, suggestions or other 22 influences that affect how the witness testified? In general, 23 does the witness have a special reason to tell the truth or a 24 special reason to lie? All in all, how reasonable does the 25 witness's testimony seem when you think about all the other

that. The lawyers have to talk to witnesses to find out what they know about a case and what their testimony is likely to 2 3 be,

You heard testimony from a witness who is a police officer. That testimony is to be judged by the same standards you use to evaluate the testimony of everyone else.

Now, in Count 1 - and all of these read almost the same, but I still have to read them all. Defendant is charged with the crime in Count 1 of second degree criminal sexual conduct. To prove the charge the Prosecutor must prove each of the following elements.

First, that the Defendant intentionally touched Madison Bostwick's genital area or the clothing covering that

Second, that this was done for sexual purposes or could reasonably be construed as being been done for sexual purposes.

Second, that Madison Bostwick was 13, 14 or 15 years old at the time of the alleged act.

And lastly, that at the time of the alleged act the Defendant and Madison Bostwick were living in the same household.

Count 2, the Defendant is charged with the crime of second degree criminal sexual conduct. To prove this charge the Prosecutor must prove each of the following elements

evidence you've heard?

1

2

3

4

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, there are times that differing witnesses will not agree in their testimony and you must decide which testimony to accept. Please think about whether the disagreement involved something important or not and whether you think someone is lying or is simply mistaken. And people will often see and hear things differently and they may testify honestly but simply be wrong about what they thought they saw or remembered.

It's also a good idea to think about which testimony agrees best with the other evidence in the case. However, you may conclude that a witness deliberately lied about something that's important to how you decide the case. In that situation you may choose not to accept anything the witness said. On the other hand, if you think the witness lied about some things and told the truth about others, you can accept the part you think is true and of course, ignore the rest of the testimony.

Don't decide the case based on who had the most witnesses. Think about what the witness said and whether you believe them. Then you must decide that the testimony and evidence you believe proves beyond a reasonable doubt that the Defendant is guilty.

The lawyers or their representatives talked to several witnesses, and there is absolutely nothing wrong with 1 beyond a reasonable doubt.

2 First, that the Defendant intentionally touched Madison Bostwick's genital area or the clothing covering that 3 4

Second, that this was done for sexual purposes or could reasonably be construed as having been done for sexual purposes.

Nextly, that Madison Bostwick was 13, 14 or 15 years old at the time of the alleged act.

And lastly, that at the time of the alleged act the Defendant and Madison Bostwick were living in the same household.

Count 3, the Defendant is charged with the crime of 14 criminal sexual conduct. To prove - second degree criminal sexual conduct. To prove this charge the Prosecutor must prove each of the following elements. 16

17 First, that the Defendant intentionally touched Madison Bostwick's genital area or the clothing covering that 18 19

Second, that this was done for sexual purposes or could reasonably be construed as having been done for sexual purposes.

Nextly, that Madison Bostwick was 13, 14 or 15 years of age at the time of the alleged act. And third, that at the time of the alleged act the

should give up your own honest opinion about this case just Defendant and Madison Bostwick were living in the same because other people disagree with you or for the sake of household. reaching some verdict. In the end your vote must be your own 3 3 Count 4, the Defendant is charged with the crime of and you have to vote honestly and in good conscience. 4 second degree criminal sexual conduct, and once again, to 5 The possible penalty in every criminal case should prove the charge the Prosecutor must prove each of the not influence any decision. It's my duty to fix the penalty 6 following elements beyond a reasonable doubt. 7 within the limits provided by law. First, that the Defendant Intentionally touched 8 If you want to communicate with me while you are in Madison Bostwick's buttocks or the clothing covering that that jury room have the foreperson write a note and give it to 9 9 10 Second, that this was done for sexual purposes or 10 the court officer. Please don't talk directly with any of us, lawyers, court officers, Judge or anyone else involved while 11 could reasonably be construed as having been done for sexual 11 12 you are deliberating. 12 purposes. Nextly, that Ms. Bostwick was 13, 14 or 15 at the 13 So obviously, you write a note. She brings it to 13 me. I review it, write back on it or do something with it, 14 14 time of the alleged act. And lastly, that at the time of the alleged act the 15 all right? So don't talk to her directly. So don't let 15 anyone, even me, know how your voting stands. So until you 16 16 Defendant and Madison Bostwick were living in the same 17 household. 17 come back with a unanimous verdict don't reveal this to 18 anybody outside the jury room. 18 To prove the charges it's not necessary that there 19 19 be evidence other than the testimony of Madison Bostwick if Now, we have exhibits here for you. 20 And are they all organized here? 20 that testimony proves guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. We got them all. We'll send those on in for you to 21 21 To prove the charge the Prosecutor does not have to 22 review. 22 show that Ms. Bostwick resisted the Defendant. 23 I have tape-recorded my instructions hopefully. And 23 The Prosecutor must also prove beyond a reasonable 24 doubt that these crimes occurred within Ingham County. Time, 24 I also have this copy I'm going to loan you to use if you wish 25 in the jury room. 25 however, is not an element of the crime of criminal sexual Now, please remember, you should think about all of conduct. The Prosecutor does not have to prove the date or 1 1 my instructions together as the law you are to follow. 2 time of the offense beyond a reasonable doubt. 3 Now, this Defendant is charged with four separate 3 Now, ladies and gentlemen, when you go in the jury Counts, that is, with the crimes of - four crimes of criminal 4 4 room, you have to pick a foreperson. That's my suggestion sexual conduct in the second degree. They are all separate 5 5 anyway. And the foreperson should see that all discussions crimes. This Prosecution charges that the Defendant committed are carried on in a business like fashion and that everybody 6 all of them. You must consider these crimes separately in has a fair chance to be heard. During deliberations, please 7 light of all the evidence in our case. You can find the don't use your cell phone while you are in the room and don't 8 9 Defendant guilty of all of these. You can find him guilty of use any other -- I don't know what other communication any one or any combination of these, or of course, you can 10 10 equipment you might have with you, but if you did, don't use 11 find him not guilty. And we have a verdict form for you to 11 that either. And on breaks, obviously, you can make calls as 12 long as it's not related to the case. 12 use, See If I can figure out how to turn this off. I 13 13 A verdict in a criminal case has to be unanimous. 14 cannot read stop I guess. Anyway, I'll rewind that and send 14 So in order to return a verdict it's necessary that each It in to you. Just ignore those last few minutes. 15 15 person agrees on the verdict. In the jury room you discuss Ladies and gentlemen, this is your verdict form. It 16 the case among yourselves, but ultimately, each person has to 16 has four Counts. There is a place for the foreperson to sign make up their own mind. Every verdict must represent the 17 17 18 and date, and when you have reached a decision on all four of 18 individual considered judgment of each juror. these in some fashion, please have the foreperson sign and 19 19 You have a duty as our jury to talk to each other 20 date it and bring it back into court with you. Don't talk 20 and make every reasonable effort to reach agreement. Express 21 your opinions and the reason for those, but keep an open mind 21 about it until I ask for it. 22 as you listen to your fellow jurors. Rethink your opinions 22 Any objections to the instructions? 23 MR, MCCRIRIE: None, Judge. 23 and don't hesitate to change your mind if you decide you were 24 MR. KWASNIK: None, Your Honor. 24 wrong. Try your best to work out differences. However, 25 THE COURT: All right. So we have the instructions. although you should try to reach an agreement, none of you

		1 4	THE CALLEY AND July 1864 have a safe that
1	Kay, would you be good enough to draw somebody off?	1 1	THE COURT: All right, We have a note that
2	THE CLERK: Okay. Juror in seat No. 8.	2	apparently they have reached some verdict. I have no idea
3	THE COURT: Yes. The only person that smiled at me.	3	what it is. So I think we should have them in, take roll, and
4	Ma'am, thank you for your time. This does count as jury	4	find out what it is. All right. Go ahead.
5	service. And I think there is a rule, at least there used to	5	(Jury back at 3:38 p.m.)
6	be, where if you've had a jury service on a trial from the	6	THE COURT: Here is this one note about wanting a
7	last year, if you were called again you can be excused if you	7	break, Kay. THE CLERK: Okay.
8	want to, or you can serve. Thank you for coming,	8	THE CLERK: Oray. THE COURT: All right, All righty, Everybody here?
9	JUROR #8: Thank you,	9	Yup, All right, Have a seat, Mr. Foreperson, Kay is going
10	THE COURT: All right. The other members of our	10 11	to take roll, and so each person announce you are here as we
11	jury, you are our jury. And let's swear in Kacle. Please	1	call your number. And you have reached some verdict, right?
12	have a seat. We are not quite done everybody. Thank you,	12	JUROR #10: Correct.
13	(Law clerk sworn in at 11:50 a.m.)	13	THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead, then, Kay.
14	THE COURT: So I think at this time if you wish you	14	THE CLERK: Juror seat No. 1?
15	can use the restrooms, and then when you are all in the	15	JUROR #1: Here.
16	room – we'll have the information in there for you, and when	16	
17	everybody is in there, of course, then you start deliberating.	17	THE CLERK: Seat No. 2? JUROR #2: Here.
18	All righty? Okay. Go ahead,		THE CLERK: Seat No. 3?
19	Any objection to the instructions other than our	19	
20	previous discussions?	20	JUROR #3: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 4?
21	MR. MCCRIRIE: None, Judge.	21	
22	MR. KWASNIK: No, Your Honor.	22 23	JUROR #4: Here.
23	THE COURT: Thank you. Go ahead.	24	THE CLERK: Seat No. 5? JUROR #5: Here.
24	(Jury gone at 11:50 a.m.)	25	THE CLERK: Seat No. 6?
25	THE COURT: All right. We will be in recess. 79	23	81
1	(Off the record at 11:51 a.m.)	1	JUROR #6: Here.
2	(On the record at 2:06 p.m.)	2	THE CLERK: Seat No. 7?
	• • •		
3	THE COURT: Jurors just want a break. Is it all	3	JUROR #7: Here.
3 4	THE COURT: Jurors just want a break. Is it all right if we go with you two here?	3 4	JUROR #7: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 9?
1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	
4	right if we go with you two here?	4	THE CLERK: Seat No. 97
4 5	right if we go with you two here? MR. KWASNIK; Sure.	4 5	THE CLERK: Seat No. 9? JUROR #9: Here.
4 5 6	right if we go with you two here? MR. KWASNIK: Sure. MR. GRABEL: Of course.	4 5 6	THE CLERK: Seat No. 97 JUROR #9: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 107
4 5 6 7	right if we go with you two here? MR. KWASNIK: Sure. MR. GRABEL: Of course, THE COURT: Because they are out there roaming	4 5 6 7	THE CLERK: Seat No. 9? JUROR #9: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 10? JUROR #10: Here.
4 5 6 7 8	right if we go with you two here? MR. KWASNIK: Sure. MR. GRABEL: Of course. THE COURT: Because they are out there roaming around. Anyway, boy, it's getting cold in here. It's just a	4 5 6 7 8	THE CLERK: Seat No. 9? JUROR #9: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 10? JUROR #10: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 11? JUROR #11: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 12?
4 5 6 7 8 9	right if we go with you two here? MR. KWASNIK: Sure. MR. GRABEL: Of course. THE COURT: Because they are out there roaming around. Anyway, boy, it's getting cold in here. It's just a note. Jurors want a break, which is normal. Let's have them	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE CLERK: Seat No. 9? JUROR #9: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 10? JUROR #10: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 11? JUROR #11: Here.
4 5 6 7 8 9	right if we go with you two here? MR. KWASNIK: Sure. MR. GRABEL: Of course, THE COURT: Because they are out there roaming around. Anyway, boy, it's getting cold in here. It's just a note. Jurors want a break, which is normal. Let's have them come back in and i'il tell them to take a break and then they	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE CLERK: Seat No. 97 JUROR #9: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 107 JUROR #10: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 117 JUROR #11: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 127 JUROR #12: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 137
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	right if we go with you two here? MR. KWASNIK: Sure. MR. GRABEL: Of course, THE COURT: Because they are out there roaming around. Anyway, boy, it's getting cold in here. It's just a note. Jurors want a break, which is normal. Let's have them come back in and I'il tell them to take a break and then they can go back out. MR. MCCRIRIE: Okay, (Jury back at 2:07 p.m.)	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE CLERK: Seat No. 97 JUROR #9: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 107 JUROR #10: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 117 JUROR #11: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 127 JUROR #12: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 137 JUROR #13: Here.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	right if we go with you two here? MR. KWASNIK: Sure. MR. GRABEL: Of course. THE COURT: Because they are out there roaming around. Anyway, boy, it's getting cold in here. It's just a note. Jurors want a break, which is normal. Let's have them come back in and i'il tell them to take a break and then they can go back out. MR. MCCRIRIE: Okay. (Jury back at 2:07 p.m.) THE COURT: Thanks everybody. Have a seat. Do i	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE CLERK: Seat No. 9? JUROR #9: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 10? JUROR #10: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 11? JUROR #11: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 12? JUROR #12: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 13? JUROR #13: Here. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Foreperson, would you read
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	right if we go with you two here? MR. KWASNIK: Sure. MR. GRABEL: Of course, THE COURT: Because they are out there roaming around. Anyway, boy, it's getting cold in here. It's just a note. Jurors want a break, which is normal. Let's have them come back in and i'il tell them to take a break and then they can go back out. MR. MCCRIRIE: Okay. (Jury back at 2:07 p.m.) THE COURT: Thanks everybody. Have a seat. Do i understand — who is the foreperson? You don't have to stand	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE CLERK: Seat No. 9? JUROR #9: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 10? JUROR #10: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 11? JUROR #11: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 12? JUROR #12: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 13? JUROR #13: Here. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Foreperson, would you read whatever is on that form?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	right if we go with you two here? MR. KWASNIK: Sure. MR. GRABEL: Of course. THE COURT: Because they are out there roaming around. Anyway, boy, it's getting cold in here. It's just a note. Jurors want a break, which is normal. Let's have them come back in and i'il tell them to take a break and then they can go back out. MR. MCCRIRIE: Okay. (Jury back at 2:07 p.m.) THE COURT: Thanks everybody. Have a seat. Do i	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE CLERK: Seat No. 9? JUROR #9: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 10? JUROR #10: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 11? JUROR #11: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 12? JUROR #12: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 13? JUROR #13: Here. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Foreperson, would you read whatever is on that form? JUROR #10: Count 1, guilty of criminal sexual
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	right if we go with you two here? MR. KWASNIK: Sure. MR. GRABEL: Of course, THE COURT: Because they are out there roaming around. Anyway, boy, it's getting cold in here. It's just a note. Jurors want a break, which is normal. Let's have them come back in and I'il tell them to take a break and then they can go back out. MR. MCCRIRIE: Okay, (Jury back at 2:07 p.m.) THE COURT: Thanks everybody. Have a seat. Do I understand — who is the foreperson? You don't have to stand up. Do I understand that you guys want a break? JUROR #10: Yes, please.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE CLERK: Seat No. 9? JUROR #9: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 10? JUROR #10: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 11? JUROR #11: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 12? JUROR #12: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 13? JUROR #13: Here. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Foreperson, would you read whatever is on that form? JUROR #10: Count 1, guilty of criminal sexual conduct second degree. Count 2, guilty of criminal sexual
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	right if we go with you two here? MR. KWASNIK: Sure. MR. GRABEL: Of course, THE COURT: Because they are out there roaming around. Anyway, boy, it's getting cold in here. It's just a note. Jurors want a break, which is normal. Let's have them come back in and I'll tell them to take a break and then they can go back out. MR. MCCRIRIE: Okay, (Jury back at 2:07 p.m.) THE COURT: Thanks everybody. Have a seat. Do i understand — who is the foreperson? You don't have to stand up. Do i understand that you guys want a break? JUROR #10: Yes, please. THE COURT: Take 15 or 20 minutes. Go on out and	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE CLERK: Seat No. 9? JUROR #9: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 10? JUROR #10: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 11? JUROR #11: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 12? JUROR #12: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 13? JUROR #13: Here. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Foreperson, would you read whatever is on that form? JUROR #10: Count 1, guilty of criminal sexual conduct second degree. Count 3, guilty of criminal sexual conduct second degree. Count 3, guilty of criminal sexual
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	right if we go with you two here? MR. KWASNIK: Sure. MR. GRABEL: Of course, THE COURT: Because they are out there roaming around. Anyway, boy, it's getting cold in here. It's just a note. Jurors want a break, which is normal. Let's have them come back in and it'il tell them to take a break and then they can go back out. MR. MCCRIRIE: Okay. (Jury back at 2:07 p.m.) THE COURT: Thanks everybody. Have a seat. Do i understand — who is the foreperson? You don't have to stand up. Do i understand that you guys want a break? JUROR #10: Yes, please. THE COURT: Take 15 or 20 minutes. Go on out and mill around wherever you want. Mr. Foreperson, when everybody	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE CLERK: Seat No. 97 JUROR #9: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 107 JUROR #10: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 117 JUROR #11: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 127 JUROR #12: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 137 JUROR #13: Here. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Foreperson, would you read whatever is on that form? JUROR #10: Count 1, guilty of criminal sexual conduct second degree. Count 2, guilty of criminal sexual conduct second degree. Count 4, guilty of criminal sexual conduct second degree. Count 4, guilty of criminal sexual
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	right if we go with you two here? MR. KWASNIK: Sure. MR. GRABEL: Of course. THE COURT: Because they are out there roaming around. Anyway, boy, it's getting cold in here. It's just a note. Jurors want a break, which is normal. Let's have them come back in and i'il tell them to take a break and then they can go back out. MR. MCCRIRIE: Okay. (Jury back at 2:07 p.m.) THE COURT: Thanks everybody. Have a seat. Do I understand — who is the foreperson? You don't have to stand up. Do I understand that you guys want a break? JUROR #10: Yes, please. THE COURT: Take 15 or 20 minutes. Go on out and mill around wherever you want. Mr. Foreperson, when everybody is back in the room, door closed, you can start again.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE CLERK: Seat No. 97 JUROR #9: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 107 JUROR #10: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 117 JUROR #11: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 127 JUROR #12: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 137 JUROR #13: Here. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Foreperson, would you read whatever is on that form? JUROR #10: Count 1, guilty of criminal sexual conduct second degree. Count 2, guilty of criminal sexual conduct second degree. Count 4, guilty of criminal sexual conduct second degree. Count 4, guilty of criminal sexual conduct second degree. Count 4, guilty of criminal sexual conduct second degree. Count 4, guilty of criminal sexual conduct second degree.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	right if we go with you two here? MR. KWASNIK: Sure. MR. GRABEL: Of course. THE COURT: Because they are out there roaming around. Anyway, boy, it's getting cold in here. It's just a note. Jurors want a break, which is normal. Let's have them come back in and i'il tell them to take a break and then they can go back out. MR. MCCRIRIE: Okay. (Jury back at 2:07 p.m.) THE COURT: Thanks everybody. Have a seat. Do I understand — who is the foreperson? You don't have to stand up. Do I understand that you guys want a break? JUROR #10: Yes, please. THE COURT: Take 15 or 20 minutes. Go on out and mill around wherever you want. Mr. Foreperson, when everybody is back in the room, door closed, you can start again. JUROR #10: Okay.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE CLERK: Seat No. 9? JUROR #9: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 10? JUROR #10: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 11? JUROR #11: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 12? JUROR #12: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 13? JUROR #13: Here. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Foreperson, would you read whatever is on that form? JUROR #10: Count 1, guilty of criminal sexual conduct second degree. Count 2, guilty of criminal sexual conduct second degree. Count 4, guilty of criminal sexual conduct second degree. THE COURT: Would you give that to her, please?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	right if we go with you two here? MR. KWASNIK: Sure. MR. GRABEL: Of course, THE COURT: Because they are out there roaming around. Anyway, boy, it's getting cold in here. It's just a note. Jurors want a break, which is normal. Let's have them come back in and i'll tell them to take a break and then they can go back out. MR. MCCRIRIE: Okay, (Jury back at 2:07 p.m.) THE COURT: Thanks everybody. Have a seat. Do I understand — who is the foreperson? You don't have to stand up. Do I understand that you guys want a break? JUROR #10: Yes, please. THE COURT: Take 15 or 20 minutes. Go on out and mill around wherever you want. Mr. Foreperson, when everybody is back in the room, door closed, you can start again. JUROR #10: Okay. THE COURT: All right. Obviously, don't discuss it	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE CLERK: Seat No. 9? JUROR #9: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 10? JUROR #10: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 11? JUROR #11: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 12? JUROR #12: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 13? JUROR #13: Here. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Foreperson, would you read whatever is on that form? JUROR #10: Count 1, guilty of criminal sexual conduct second degree. Count 2, guilty of criminal sexual conduct second degree. Count 4, guilty of criminal sexual conduct second degree. Count 4, guilty of criminal sexual conduct second degree. The COURT: Would you give that to her, please? Juror No. 1, did you hear the verdict as read by your
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	right if we go with you two here? MR. KWASNIK: Sure. MR. GRABEL: Of course, THE COURT: Because they are out there roaming around. Anyway, boy, it's getting cold in here. It's just a note. Jurors want a break, which is normal. Let's have them come back in and I'll tell them to take a break and then they can go back out. MR. MCCRIRIE: Okay, (Jury back at 2:07 p.m.) THE COURT: Thanks everybody. Have a seat. Do I understand — who is the foreperson? You don't have to stand up. Do I understand that you guys want a break? JUROR #10: Yes, please. THE COURT: Take 15 or 20 minutes. Go on out and mill around wherever you want. Mr. Foreperson, when everybody is back in the room, door closed, you can start again. JUROR #10: Okay. THE COURT: All right. Obviously, don't discuss it while you are on break. Thank you.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE CLERK: Seat No. 9? JUROR #9: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 10? JUROR #10: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 11? JUROR #11: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 12? JUROR #12: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 13? JUROR #13: Here. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Foreperson, would you read whatever is on that form? JUROR #10: Count 1, guilty of criminal sexual conduct second degree. Count 2, guilty of criminal sexual conduct second degree. Count 4, guilty of criminal sexual conduct second degree. Count 4, guilty of criminal sexual conduct second degree. THE COURT: Would you give that to her, please? Juror No. 1, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	right if we go with you two here? MR. KWASNIK: Sure. MR. GRABEL: Of course, THE COURT: Because they are out there roaming around. Anyway, boy, it's getting cold in here. It's just a note. Jurors want a break, which is normal. Let's have them come back in and it'il tell them to take a break and then they can go back out. MR. MCCRIRIE: Okay, (Jury back at 2:07 p.m.) THE COURT: Thanks everybody. Have a seat. Do i understand – who is the foreperson? You don't have to stand up. Do i understand that you guys want a break? JUROR #10: Yes, please. THE COURT: Take 15 or 20 minutes. Go on out and mill around wherever you want. Mr. Foreperson, when everybody is back in the room, door closed, you can start again. JUROR #10: Okay. THE COURT: All right. Obviously, don't discuss it while you are on break. Thank you. (Off the record at 2:08 p.m.)	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE CLERK: Seat No. 9? JUROR #9: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 10? JUROR #10: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 11? JUROR #11: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 12? JUROR #12: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 13? JUROR #13: Here. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Foreperson, would you read whatever is on that form? JUROR #10: Count 1, guilty of criminal sexual conduct second degree. Count 2, guilty of criminal sexual conduct second degree. Count 4, guilty of criminal sexual conduct second degree. Count 4, guilty of criminal sexual conduct second degree. THE COURT: Would you give that to her, please? Juror No. 1, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #1: Yes.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	right if we go with you two here? MR. KWASNIK: Sure. MR. GRABEL: Of course, THE COURT: Because they are out there roaming around. Anyway, boy, it's getting cold in here. It's just a note. Jurors want a break, which is normal. Let's have them come back in and I'll tell them to take a break and then they can go back out. MR. MCCRIRIE: Okay, (Jury back at 2:07 p.m.) THE COURT: Thanks everybody. Have a seat. Do I understand — who is the foreperson? You don't have to stand up. Do I understand that you guys want a break? JUROR #10: Yes, please. THE COURT: Take 15 or 20 minutes. Go on out and mill around wherever you want. Mr. Foreperson, when everybody is back in the room, door closed, you can start again. JUROR #10: Okay. THE COURT: All right. Obviously, don't discuss it while you are on break. Thank you.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE CLERK: Seat No. 9? JUROR #9: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 10? JUROR #10: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 11? JUROR #11: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 12? JUROR #12: Here. THE CLERK: Seat No. 13? JUROR #13: Here. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Foreperson, would you read whatever is on that form? JUROR #10: Count 1, guilty of criminal sexual conduct second degree. Count 2, guilty of criminal sexual conduct second degree. Count 4, guilty of criminal sexual conduct second degree. Count 4, guilty of criminal sexual conduct second degree. THE COURT: Would you give that to her, please? Juror No. 1, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson?

THE COURT: Juror No. 2, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #2: 16d. THE COURT: Juror No. 3, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #3: Yes. 1 did. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #3: Yes. 1 did. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #3: Yes. 1 did. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #3: Yes. 1 did. THE COURT: Juror No. 4, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #4: Yes. 1 did. THE COURT: Juror No. 5, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #5: Yes. THE COURT: Juror No. 6, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was t			т.	and horses of annual and
THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #2: I did. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #2: I did. THE COURT: Thank you. Anything else by anybody? MR. MCORRIE: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. Anything else by anybody? MR. MCORRIE: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #3: Yes. I did. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #3: Yes. I did. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #4: Yes. I did. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #4: Yes. I did. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #4: Yes. I did. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #5: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help	1	JUROR #1: Yes,	1	read by your foreperson?
JUROR #2: I did. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #3: Yes. 1 did. THE COURT: Juror No. 3, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #3: Yes. 1 did. THE COURT: Juror No. 4, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #3: Yes. 1 did. THE COURT: Juror No. 4, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #4: Yes. 1 did. THE COURT: Juror No. 5, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #4: Yes. 1 did. THE COURT: Juror No. 5, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #5: Yes. THE COURT: Juror No. 6, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Juror No. 7, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #7: Yes. Your Honor. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #7: Yes. Your Honor. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #7: Yes. Your Honor. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #7: Yes. Your Honor. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #7: Yes. Your Honor. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #7: Yes. Your Honor. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #7: Yes. Your Honor. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #7: Yes. It did. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #7: Yes. It did. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #7: Yes. The COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #7: Yes. The COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #7: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes. THE COURT: Was		•	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JURGR #8: It is, read by your foreperson? JURGR #3: Yes, I did. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JURGR #4: Yes, I did. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JURGR #4: Yes, I did. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JURGR #4: Yes, I did. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JURGR #4: Yes, I did. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JURGR #4: Yes, I did. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JURGR #4: Yes, I did. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JURGR #4: Yes, I did. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JURGR #5: Yes, THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JURGR #5: Yes, THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JURGR #5: Yes, THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JURGR #6: Yes, THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JURGR #6: Yes, THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JURGR #6: Yes, THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JURGR #6: Yes, THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JURGR #6: Yes, THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JURGR #6: Yes, THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JURGR #6: Yes, THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JURGR #6: Yes, THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JURGR #6: Yes, THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JURGR #6: Yes, THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JURGR #6: Yes, THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JURGR #6: Yes, THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JURGR #6: Yes, THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JURGR #6: Yes, THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JURGR #6: Yes, THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JURGR #6: Yes, THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JURGR #6: Yes, THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JURGR #6: Yes, THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JURGR #6: Yes	ı	• •	1	
JUROR #3: Yes. I did. THE COURT: Juror No. 3, did you hear the verdict as a read by your forepreson? JUROR #3: Yes. I did. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #4: Yes. I did. THE COURT: Juror No. 4, did you hear the verdict as read by your forepreson? JUROR #4: Yes. I did. THE COURT: Juror No. 5, did you hear the verdict as read by your forepreson? JUROR #5: Yes. THE COURT: Juror No. 5, did you hear the verdict as read by your forepreson? JUROR #5: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #5: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? THE COURT: Was tha	1		1 .	
THE COURT: Juror No. 3, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #8: Yes. I did. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #4: Yes. I did. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #4: Yes. I did. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #4: Yes. I did. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #4: Yes. I did. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Juror No. 7, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #6: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE CO	1		ı	•
8 read by your foreperson? 9 JUROR #8: Yes. I did. 11 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 11 JUROR #8: Yes. 12 THE COURT: Juror No. 4, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? 14 JUROR #4: Yes. I did. 15 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 16 JUROR #4: Yes. It was. 17 THE COURT: Juror No. 5, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? 18 read by your foreperson? 19 JUROR #4: Yes. 10 JUROR #5: Yes. 21 JUROR #5: Yes. 22 THE COURT: Juror No. 6, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? 24 JUROR #6: Yes. 25 THE COURT: Juror No. 6, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? 26 JUROR #6: Yes. 27 THE COURT: Juror No. 7, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? 28 JUROR #6: Yes. 29 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 20 JUROR #6: Yes. 21 JUROR #7: Yes, voir Honor. 22 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 23 read by your foreperson? 24 JUROR #7: Yes. It was. 25 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 26 JUROR #7: Yes, voir Honor. 27 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 28 JUROR #7: Yes, li was. 29 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 30 JUROR #8: Yes. It was. 31 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 31 JUROR #8: Yes. It was. 32 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 33 Alloy your foreperson? 34 JUROR #8: Yes, I did. 34 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 35 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 36 JUROR #8: Yes, I did. 36 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 37 JUROR #8: Yes, I did. 38 Alloy your foreperson? 39 JUROR #8: Yes, I did. 39 JUROR #8: Yes, I did. 40 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 41 JUROR #8: Yes, I did. 41 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 42 JUROR #8: Yes, I did. 43 Alloy your foreperson? 44 JUROR #8: Yes, I did. 45 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 46 JUROR #8: Yes, I did. 46 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 47 JUROR #8: Yes, I did. 48 T	1		1	
JUROR #3: Yes. I did. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #3: Yes. THE COURT: Juror No. 4, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #4: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Juror No. 5, did you hear the verdict as the foreperson, was it also your foreperson? JUROR #4: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #4: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #5: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #5: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #7: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #7: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #7: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #7: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #8: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #8: Yes, It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #8: Yes, It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #8: Yes, It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #8: Yes, It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #8: Yes, It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #8: Yes, It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #8: Yes, It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #8: Yes, It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #8: Yes, It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #8: Yes, It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #8: Yes, It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? THE COURT: Your the your time today. Kay, here is the file. That		•	1	
THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #3: Yes. THE COURT: Juror No. 4, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #4: Yes. It did. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #4: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Juror No. 5, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #4: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Juror No. 5, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #4: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #4: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #5: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Juror No. 6, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #7: Yes, It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #7: Yes, It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #7: Yes, It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #7: Yes, It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #8: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #8: Yes, It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #8: Yes, It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #8: Yes, It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #8: Yes, It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes, THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes, THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes, THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes, THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes, THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes, THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes, THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes, THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes, THE COURT: Was that your verdict s	1	• •	l	
11 JUROR #3: Yes. 12 THE COURT: Juror No. 4, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? 13 read by your foreperson? 14 JUROR #4: Yes. It was. 15 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 16 JUROR #4: Yes. It was. 17 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 18 JUROR #5: Yes. 20 THE COURT: Juror No. 6, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? 21 JUROR #5: Yes. 22 read by your foreperson? 23 read by your foreperson? 24 JUROR #6: Yes. 25 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 26 JUROR #6: Yes. 27 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 28 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 29 JUROR #7: Yes. 20 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 30 JUROR #7: Yes. 31 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 31 JUROR #7: Yes. 32 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 32 JUROR #7: Yes. It was. 33 read by your foreperson? 34 JUROR #7: Yes, It was. 35 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 35 JUROR #7: Yes, It was. 36 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 36 JUROR #8: Yes. 37 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 38 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 39 JUROR #1: Yes. 30 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 30 JUROR #8: Yes. It was. 31 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 31 JUROR #1: Yes. 32 THE COURT: And Juror 10, as the foreperson, was it also your verdict so help you God? 31 JUROR #1: Yes. 32 THE COURT: Juror 11, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? 31 JUROR #1: Yes. 32 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 32 JUROR #1: Yes. 33 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 34 JUROR #1: Yes. 35 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 36 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 37 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 38 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 39 JUROR #1: Yes. 40 JUROR #1: Yes. 50 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 51 THE COURT: Was that your verdi	1	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	1	•
THE COURT: Juror No. 4, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR 44: Yes. 1 it was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR 44: Yes. 1 juror No. 5, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR 48: Yes. THE COURT: Juror No. 6, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR 48: Yes. THE COURT: Juror No. 6, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR 48: Yes. THE COURT: Juror No. 6, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR 48: Yes. THE COURT: Juror No. 7, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR 47: Yes. 1 did. THE COURT: Juror No. 8, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR 47: Yes. 1 did. THE COURT: Juror No. 8, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR 47: Yes. 1 did. THE COURT: Juror No. 8, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR 47: Yes. 1 did. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR 47: Yes. 1 did. THE COURT: Mas that your verdict so help you God? JUROR 47: Yes. 1 did. THE COURT: Mas that your verdict so help you God? JUROR 47: Yes. 1 did. THE COURT: Mas that your verdict so help you God? JUROR 47: Yes. 1 did. THE COURT: Mas that your verdict so help you God? JUROR 47: Yes. 1 did. THE COURT: Mas that your verdict so help you God? JUROR 47: Yes. 1 did. THE COURT: Mas that your verdict so help you God? JUROR 47: Yes. 1 did. THE COURT: Mas that your verdict so help you God? JUROR 47: Yes. 1 did. THE COURT: Mas that your verdict so help you God? JUROR 47: Yes. 1 did. THE COURT: Mas that your verdict so help you God? JUROR 47: Yes. THE COURT: Mas that your verdict so help you God? JUROR 47: Yes. THE COURT: Mas that your verdict so help you God? JUROR 48: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR 47: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR 48: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR 48: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so h	1	THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God?	1	
read by your foreperson? JUROR #3: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #4: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #5: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #5: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #7: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #7: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #9: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #9: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #9: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #9: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #9: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict s	1		1	· ·
JUROR #4: Yes. I did. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #4: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Juror No. 5, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #5: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Juror No. 6, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Juror No. 6, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Juror No. 6, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Juror No. 7, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #7: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Juror No. 7, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #7: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #7: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #7: Yes, It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #9: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #9: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #9: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #9: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #1: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes.	1	THE COURT: Juror No. 4, did you hear the verdict as	1	
THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #4: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Juror No. 5, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #5: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #5: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #7: Yes. Yos. It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. Idid. THE COURT: Juror No. 9, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #6: Yes. Idid. THE COURT: Mas that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. Idid. THE COURT: Juror No. 9, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #6: Yes. Idid. THE COURT: Juror No. 9, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #6: Yes. Idid. THE COURT: Juror No. 9, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #6: Yes. Idid. THE COURT: Juror No. 9, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #6: Yes. Idid. THE COURT: Juror No. 9, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Juror No. 9, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Juror No. 9, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Juror No. 9, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Juror No. 9, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Juror No. 9, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Juror No. 9, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Juror No. 9, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #	13	read by your foreperson?		
16	14	JUROR #4: Yes. I did.	1	
THE COURT: Juror No. 5, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #5: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Juror No. 6, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Juror No. 6, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #7: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Juror No. 7, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #7: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #8: Yes. Idid. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #8: Yes. Idid. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #8: Yes. Idid. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #8: Yes. Idid. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #8: Yes. Idid. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 10, as the foreperson, was it also your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 11, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #1: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #1: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #1: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes. THE COU	15	THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God?	1	
18 read by your foreperson? 19 JUROR #S: Yes. 19 THE COURT: Kws that your verdict so help you God? 20 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 21 JUROR #S: Yes. 22 THE COURT: Juror No. 6, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? 23 read by your foreperson? 24 JUROR #6: Yes. 25 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 26 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 27 THE COURT: Juror No. 7, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? 28 read by your foreperson? 29 JUROR #9: Yes, It was. 20 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 30 JUROR #9: Yes. It was. 31 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 32 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 33 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 34 JUROR #9: Yes. It was. 35 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 36 JUROR #9: Yes. It was. 37 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 38 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 39 JUROR #9: Yes. It was. 30 THE COURT: Juror 10, as the foreperson, was it also your verdict so help you God? 31 JUROR #10: Yes. 32 THE COURT: Juror 11, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? 31 JUROR #11: Yes. 32 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 31 JUROR #11: Yes. 32 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 32 JUROR #11: Yes. 33 THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? 34 JUROR #11: Yes. 35 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 36 JUROR #11: Yes. 37 THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? 38 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 39 JUROR #11: Yes. 40 THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? 41 JUROR #11: Yes. 41 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 42 JUROR #12: Yes. 43 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 44 JUROR #12: Yes. 45 THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? 46 JUROR #12: Yes. 47 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so	16	JUROR #4: Yes, It was.	16	•
JUROR #5: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Juror No. 6, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Juror No. 7, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #7: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Juror No. 7, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #7: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #7: Yes, It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #7: Yes, It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #9: Yes. It did. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #9: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes, THE COURT: Juror 10, as the foreperson, was it also your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes, THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes, THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes, THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes, THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes, THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes, THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes, THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes, THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes, THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes, THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #1: Yes, THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes, THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes, THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes, THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes, THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God?	17	THE COURT: Juror No. 5, dld you hear the verdict as	17	- ,
THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #5: Yes. THE COURT: Juror No. 6, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? THE COURT: Juror No. 7, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? THE COURT: Juror No. 9, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #7: Yes, It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #7: Yes, It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #9: Yes. It did. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #9: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #9: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #9: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #9: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #9: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #9: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Juror 10, as the foreperson, was it also your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes.	18	read by your foreperson?	1	
JUROR #5: Yes. THE COURT: Juror No. 6, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #7: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #7: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #7: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #7: Yes, It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #8: Yes. It did. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #8: Yes. It did. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #8: Yes. It did. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #8: Yes. It was. THE COURT: All right. Anything else? THE COURT: All right. Anything else? THE COURT: Juror 11, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes.	19	JUROR #5: Yes,	19	
THE COURT: Juror No. 6, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? THE COURT: Juror No. 7, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? THE COURT: Juror No. 7, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? THE COURT: Juror No. 8, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? THE COURT: Juror No. 9, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #9: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #9: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #9: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #10: Yes. THE COURT: And Juror 10, as the foreperson, was it JUROR #10: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 11, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes.	20	THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God?	20	•
read by your foreperson? JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? B3 I JUROR #6: Yes. THE COURT: Juror No. 7, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #7: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #7: Yes, It was. THE COURT: Juror No. 9, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #9: Yes. It did. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #9: Yes. It did. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #9: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #9: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #10: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 11, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes.	21	JUROR #5: Yes,	21	THE COURT: Mr. Grabel, is that good for you and
24	22	THE COURT: Juror No. 6, did you hear the verdict as	22	counsel?
25 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 83 1 JUROR #6: Yes. 2 THE COURT: Juror No. 7, did you hear the verdict as aread by your foreperson? 4 JUROR #7: Yes, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 6 JUROR #7: Yes. It was. 7 THE COURT: Juror No. 9, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? 9 JUROR #9: Yes. I did. 10 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 11 JUROR #9: Yes. I twas. 12 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 13 also your verdict so help you God? 14 JUROR #10: Yes. 15 THE COURT: Juror 11, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? 17 JUROR #11: Yes. 18 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 19 JUROR #11: Yes. 10 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 11 JUROR #11: Yes. 12 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 13 AR. MCCRIRIE: Judge, If I can have just — I'm 85 MR. MCCRIRIE: Judge, If I can have just — I'm 85 MR. MCCRIRIE: Judge, If I can have just — I'm 85 MR. MCCRIRIE: Judge, I have to be In the Detroit areat that aftermoon. I apologize. THE COURT: Well, we can go to the 14th. THE COURT: Well, we can go to the 14th. THE COURT: Well think you are gone. THE COURT: Walt a minute. That's when I'm gone. So it will have to be the 21st. MR. MCCRIRIE: I've got nothing that day. THE COURT: All right. Anything else? MR. MCCRIRIE: Perfect, Judge. THE COURT: All right. Anything else? MR. MCCRIRIE: Thank you. THE COURT: All right. Anything else? MR. MCCRIRIE: Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. We'll see you all back here then. That's all. (Whereupon, Jury Trial concluded at 3:43 p.m.) That's all. (Whereupon, Jury Trial concluded at 3:43 p.m.)	23	read by your foreperson?	23	•
## Sorry, what time? JUROR #6: Yes. 1	24	JUROR #6: Yes,	24	THE COURT: October 7, 2015 at 1:15.
1 JUROR #S: Yes. THE COURT: Juror No. 7, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #7: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #9: Yes. I twas. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #9: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #9: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #9: Yes. It was. THE COURT: And Juror 10, as the foreperson, was it JUROR #10: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 11, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes.	25	THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God?	25	MR. MCCRIRIE: Judge, if I can have just I'm
THE COURT: Juror No. 7, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #7: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #7: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Juror No. 9, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #9: Yes. I did. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #9: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #9: Yes. It was. THE COURT: And Juror 10, as the foreperson, was it also your verdict so help you God? JUROR #10: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 11, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 11, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Mas that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: 1: 15. MR. MCCRIRIE: Vergot nothing that day. THE COURT: And Juror 10, as the foreperson, was it also your tender to be the 21st. MR. MCCRIRIE: Perfect, Judge. THE COURT: Hall am not vacation. 21st? MR. MCCRIRIE: Perfect, Judge. THE COURT: Hall am not vacation. 21st? MR. MCCRIRIE: Perfect, Judge. THE COURT: Hall am not vacation. 21st? MR. MCCRIRIE: Perfect, Judge. THE COURT: Hall am not vacation. 21st? MR. MCCRIRIE: Perfect, Judge. THE COURT: Hall am not vacation. 21st? MR. MCCRIRIE: Perfect, Judge. THE COURT: Hall am not vacation. 21st? MR.		ВЗ	<u> </u>	
read by your foreperson? JUROR #7: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #7: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Juror No. 9, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #9: Yes. I did. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #9: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #9: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #9: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Add Juror 10, as the foreperson, was it also your verdict so help you God? JUROR #10: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 11, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict as help you God? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict as help you God? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict as help you God? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict as help you God? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict as help you God? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict as help you God? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict as help you God? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict as help you God? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict as help you God? JUROR #12: Yes.	1	JUROR #6: Yes.	_	
JUROR #7: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #7: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Juror No. 9, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #9: Yes. I did. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #9: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #9: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #9: Yes. It was. THE COURT: And Juror 10, as the foreperson, was it also your verdict so help you God? JUROR #10: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 11, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #12: Yes.	2	THE COURT: Juror No. 7, did you hear the verdict as	l	
THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #7: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Juror No. 9, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #9: Yes. I did. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #9: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #9: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #10: Yes, THE COURT: Juror 11, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes.	3	read by your foreperson?	Ι.	- ·
JUROR #7: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Juror No. 9, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #9: Yes. I did. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #9: Yes. It was. THE COURT: And Juror 10, as the foreperson, was it also your verdict so help you God? JUROR #10: Yes, THE COURT: Juror 11, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Ithink you are gone. THE COURT: Wait a minute. That's when I'm gone. So it will have to be the 21st. MR. MCCRIRIE: I've got nothing that dey. THE COURT: 14th I am on vacation. 21st? MR. MCCRIRIE: No. Judge. THE COURT: Hard In Ind. Anything else? MR. MCCRIRIE: No. Judge. THE COURT: Yeah. MR. MCCRIRIE: No. Judge. THE COURT: Yeah. MR. MCCRIRIE: No. Judge. THE COURT: Way was the foreperson, was it all right. Anything else? THE COURT: Yeah. MR. MCCRIRIE: I've got nothing that dey. THE COURT: And Jurge. THE COURT: And Jurge. THE COURT: And Jurge. THE COURT: Anything else? THE COU	4	JUROR #7: Yes, Your Honor.	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
THE COURT: Juror No. 9, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #9: Yes. I did. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #9: Yes. It was. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #9: Yes. It was. THE COURT: And Juror 10, as the foreperson, was it also your verdict so help you God? JUROR #10: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 11, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes.	5	THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God?	1	
8 read by your foreperson? 9 JUROR #9: Yes. I did. 10 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 11 JUROR #9: Yes. It was. 12 THE COURT: And Juror 10, as the foreperson, was it also your verdict so help you God? 13 also your verdict so help you God? 14 JUROR #10: Yes, 15 THE COURT: Juror 11, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? 17 JUROR #11: Yes, 18 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 19 JUROR #11: Yes, 20 THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 21 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 22 JUROR #12: Yes, 23 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 24 JUROR #12: Yes, 25 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 26 JUROR #12: Yes, 27 THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict so help you God? 28 JUROR #12: Yes, 29 MR. MCCRIRIE: I've got nothing that day, THE COURT: Alt I am on vacation, 21st? MR. MCCRIRIE: I've got nothing that day, THE COURT: All right, Anything else? THE COURT: All r	6	JUROR #7: Yes. It was.	1	• •
9 JUROR #9: Yes. I did. 10 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 11 JUROR #9: Yes. It was. 12 THE COURT: And Juror 10, as the foreperson, was it 13 also your verdict so help you God? 14 JUROR #10: Yes. 15 THE COURT: Juror 11, did you hear the verdict as 16 read by your foreperson? 17 JUROR #11: Yes. 18 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 19 JUROR #11: Yes. 20 THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as 21 read by your foreperson? 22 JUROR #12: Yes. 23 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 24 JUROR #12: Yes. 25 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 26 JUROR #12: Yes. 27 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 28 JUROR #12: Yes. 29 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 20 JUROR #12: Yes. 21 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 24 JUROR #12: Yes. 25 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 26 JUROR #12: Yes. 27 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 28 JUROR #12: Yes. 29 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 20 JUROR #12: Yes. 20 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 21 JUROR #12: Yes. 22 JUROR #12: Yes. 24 JUROR #12: Yes.	7	THE COURT: Juror No. 9, did you hear the verdict as	1	
JUROR #9: Yes. I clid. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #9: Yes. It was. THE COURT: And Juror 10, as the foreperson, was it also your verdict so help you God? JUROR #10: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 11, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes.	8	read by your foreperson?	1 -	
THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #9: Yes. It was. THE COURT: And Juror 10, as the foreperson, was it also your verdict so help you God? JUROR #10: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 11, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes. Ze JUROR #12: Yes. JUROR #12: Yes. Ze JUROR #12: Yes. Ze JUROR #12: Yes.	9		1	
THE COURT: And Juror 10, as the foreperson, was it also your verdict so help you God? JUROR #10: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 11, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict as help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as That's all. Whereupon, Jury Trial concluded at 3:43 p.m.) JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Anything else? MR. MCCRIRIE: No, Judge. Bond continued? THE COURT: Yeah. MR. MCCRIRIE: Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. We'll see you all back here then. Thank you all for your time today. Kay, here is the file. Whereupon, Jury Trial concluded at 3:43 p.m.) THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Anything else? THE COURT: All right. Anything else? THE COURT: He COURT: Yeah. When MR. MCCRIRIE: No, Judge. Bond continued? THE COURT: Yeah. THE COURT: Okay. We'll see you all back here then. Thank you all for your time today. Kay, here is the file. Whereupon, Jury Trial concluded at 3:43 p.m.)	10	THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God?	ı	
12 THE COURT: And Juror 10, as the foreperson, was it also your verdict so help you God? 14 JUROR #10: Yes, 15 THE COURT: Juror 11, did you hear the verdict as 16 read by your foreperson? 17 JUROR #11: Yes, 18 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 19 JUROR #11: Yes, 20 THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as 21 read by your foreperson? 22 JUROR #12: Yes, 23 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 24 JUROR #12: Yes, 25 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 26 JUROR #12: Yes, 27 JUROR #12: Yes, 28 JUROR #12: Yes, 29 JUROR #12: Yes, 20 JUROR #12: Yes, 21 JUROR #12: Yes, 22 JUROR #12: Yes, 23 JUROR #12: Yes, 24 JUROR #12: Yes, 25 JUROR #12: Yes, 26 JUROR #12: Yes, 27 JUROR #12: Yes, 28 JUROR #12: Yes, 29 JUROR #12: Yes, 20 JUROR #12: Yes, 20 JUROR #12: Yes, 21 JUROR #12: Yes, 22 JUROR #12: Yes, 23 JUROR #12: Yes, 24 JUROR #12: Yes,	11	JUROR #9: Yes. It was.	1	
also your verdict so help you God? JUROR #10: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 11, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict as help you God? JUROR #12: Yes. JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Yeah. MR. MCCRIRIE: Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. We'll see you all back here then. Thank you all for your time today. Kay, here is the file. That's all. (Whereupon, Jury Trial concluded at 3:43 p.m.) THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #12: Yes. 22 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes.	12	THE COURT: And Juror 10, as the foreperson, was it	1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
JUROR #10: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 11, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #12: Yes. JUROR #12: Yes. MR. MCCRIRIE: Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. We'll see you all back here than. Thank you all for your time today. Kay, here is the file. That's all. (Whereupon, Jury Trial concluded at 3:43 p.m.) THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #12: Yes. 20 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes.	13	also your verdict so help you God?	1	
THE COURT: Juror 11, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes, THE COURT: Was that your verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #12: Yes, THE COURT: Was that your verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #12: Yes, THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes, THE COURT: Okay. We'll see you all back here then. That's all. (Whereupon, Jury Trial concluded at 3:43 p.m.)	14	JUROR #10: Yes,	1	
16 read by your foreperson? 17 JUROR #11: Yes. 18 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 19 JUROR #11: Yes, 20 THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? 21 read by your foreperson? 22 JUROR #12: Yes, 23 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 24 JUROR #12: Yes. 27 Thank you all for your time today. Kay, here is the file. 28 That's all. 29 (Whereupon, Jury Trial concluded at 3:43 p.m.)	15	THE COURT: Juror 11, did you hear the verdict as	1	•
JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as In the court is all. Your ead by your foreperson? JUROR #12: Yes. THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes.	16	read by your foreperson?	1	•
THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #11: Yes. THE COURT: Juror 12, did you hear the verdict as read by your foreperson? JUROR #12: Yes, THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? JUROR #12: Yes.	17	JUROR #11: Yes.	1	
19 JUROR #11: Yes, 20 THE COURT: Juror 12, dld you hear the verdict as 21 read by your foreperson? 22 JUROR #12: Yes, 23 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 24 JUROR #12: Yes. 25 24	18	THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God?	1	
21 read by your foreperson? 21 22 JUROR #12: Yes, 22 23 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 23 24 JUROR #12: Yes, 24	19	JUROR #11: Yes.		
21 read by your foreperson? 21 22 JUROR #12: Yes, 22 23 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 23 24 JUROR #12: Yes, 24	20	THE COURT: Juror 12, dld you hear the verdict as	20	į
22 JUROR #12: Yes, 22 23 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 23 24 JUROR #12: Yes. 24	21	read by your foreperson?	I	
23 THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God? 23 24 JUROR #12: Yes. 24	22	JUROR #12: Yes,	1	
}	23	THE COURT: Was that your verdict so help you God?	1	
i i			24	
25 THE GODICH Guid you how the vertical at	25	THE COURT: Juror 13, did you hear the verdict as	25	ļ
84 86	1	84	L	86

```
STATE OF MICHIGAN)
$ 35
COUNTY OF INGHAM }
                    I, Paul G. Brandell, Certified Shorthand Reporter, do
          hereby certify that the foregoing Jury Trial Has taken before
           me at the time and place hersinbafore set forth.
                      I further certify that the foregoing is a full,
          true, and correct transcript of the testimony taken on
           September 3, 2015.
10
                             Paul G. Brandell, CSR-4552
Certified Shorthand Reporter,
Registered Professional Reporter
11
          11-4-15
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```